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1. Introduction

This Statement of Consultation has been prepared in accordance with Regulation 12 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The Regulations require that as part of the preparation of Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD’s) a Statement of Consultation should be prepared.

The Statement sets out what was done to consult with the district and other stakeholders, detailing the methods and the people involved to meet the requirements of regulation 12 for the Draft Consultation on the Wakefield City Centre Urban Development Framework (UDF) SPD which took place in January to February 2017, asking people for their thoughts on the document.

In addition to the Regulations, the Council has a Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) which explains how the community and organisations, etc., can take part in the planning process. The SCI was adopted by the Council on 8 February 2006. It sets out how and which persons and bodies the Council will engage with, when preparing SPD’s. The 2006 SCI is currently been updated.

1.1 Who was consulted?

The Councils SCI sets out how the community and organisations can take part in the planning process. The list of organisations and people to be consulted in relation to SPD documents are:

- Specific organisations
- General organisations
- Government departments and additional organisations
- Interested parties
- Residents and residents groups

Appendix B lists the organisations, persons and bodies notified of the consultation and invited to make representations, based on the SCI. Those who have asked to be kept informed of the progress of planning documents are also detailed. Section 33A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, as amended by section 11 of the Localism Act, introduced a duty to cooperate in relation to the planning of sustainable development. Regulation 4 of the 2012 Town and Country Planning (England) Regulations lays out the bodies that must be consulted under the provisions of the Act. These bodies were all informed of the consultation and invited to make representations.
1.2 Engagement Methods / Activities

The Draft Consultation (2017) followed the Initial Consultation on the Wakefield City Centre UDF SPD that took place in January / February 2016. The Initial Consultation asked 6 questions, the responses received to these questions and the answers and responses to them are set out in the ‘Initial Consultation Statement of Consultation’ (January 2017). The comments received at the Initial Consultation stage have been incorporated where possible into the draft document. The majority of comments received were in support of creating a more positive pedestrian experience for the users of the City Centre. A summary of the responses received at Initial Consultation stage and how they informed the draft document are located in the appendix material to this Statement of Consultation.

The key consultation activities for the Draft Consultation were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formal notification of where the documents may be inspected and how representations may be made.</td>
<td>Over 1000 bodies and persons notified in writing (email or letter) of the consultation and invited to make representations – using the Councils consultation software - Objective.</td>
<td>List of consultees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal notification of where the documents may be inspected and how representations may be made.</td>
<td>Public notice in the Wakefield Newspaper Group – Wakefield Express (12/01/17), Pontefract and Castleford Express (11/01/17)</td>
<td>Scanned images of the notice(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where documents were available for inspection.</td>
<td>Information posters were displayed throughout the consultation period at a number of community centres, libraries and district housing offices.</td>
<td>Copy of the poster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Objective - Council’s online consultation portal at: <a href="http://consult.wakefield.gov.uk/portal">http://consult.wakefield.gov.uk/portal</a></td>
<td>Screen shot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In electronic and hardcopy format at: Wakefield One Customer Access Point and libraries across the district.</td>
<td>Copy of letters sent is available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council’s Facebook: <a href="https://www.facebook.com/mywakefield">https://www.facebook.com/mywakefield</a></td>
<td>Screen shot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council’s Business Lounge Carousel</td>
<td>Copy of slide used</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notification of community representatives and contacts.

All 63 local councillors representing the 21 wards in the district were notified of the consultation by email on 12.01.17.

All town and parish councils were notified formally on 12.01.17.

Public Exhibitions

Public exhibition was located in Wakefield One from 12 to 18 January 2017, manned on 3 separate occasions. Manned public exhibition in the Ridings Shopping Centre Event Space, Wakefield City Centre on 20 January 2017.

Exhibition boards

Meetings

Meeting with Civic Society on 18.01.17

Meeting Notes

Meeting with Wakefield City Centre Partnership (WCCP) on 19.01.17

Meeting Notes

2. Representation Summary

In all, there were 20 representations received on the Wakefield City Centre UDF Consultation Draft document.

These compromised of 18 representations submitted via the online consultation portal and two direct emails were received.
## 2.1 Summary of Comments and Responses to the Draft Consultation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Reference</th>
<th>Relevant section or theme</th>
<th>Organisation/ Individual</th>
<th>Comment (Summarised by Wakefield MDC)</th>
<th>Integreat Plus/ Council’s Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Specific Comments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UDFCD2</strong></td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area 58/ Core Waterfront Area/5.1</td>
<td>Mr Ian Sanderson, West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service</td>
<td>Under Guidance &amp; Suggestions: Recommend the addition of: Consult the West Yorkshire Historic Environment Record held by the West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service, as parts of the site may have a potential below ground archaeological interest &amp; to understand the historic character of the area.</td>
<td>Reference added to page 58/Core Waterfront Area/5.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UDFCD3</strong></td>
<td>South of Wakefield Lock 60/ South of Wakefield Lock/5.1</td>
<td>Mr Ian Sanderson, West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service</td>
<td>Under Guidance &amp; Suggestions: Recommend addition of the text: Consult the West Yorkshire Historic Environment Record held by the West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service to understand the historic character of this area.</td>
<td>Reference added to page 60/South of Wakefield Lock/5.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UDFCD4</strong></td>
<td>Kirkgate Development Sites</td>
<td>Mr Ian Sanderson, West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service</td>
<td>Under Guidance &amp; Suggestions: Recommend the addition of: Consult the West Yorkshire Historic Environment Record held by the West Yorkshire</td>
<td>Reference added to page 72/Kirkgate Development Sites/5.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Description</td>
<td>Contact Person</td>
<td>Guidance &amp; Suggestions</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72/ Kirkgate Development Sites/5.2</td>
<td>Archaeology Advisory Service as parts of this area may have a potential below ground archaeological interest &amp; to understand the historic character of this area.</td>
<td>Reference added to page 86/Ings Road Development Site/5.3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UDFCD5</strong> Ings Road Development Site 86/ Ings Road Development Sites/5.3</td>
<td>Mr Ian Sanderson, West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service</td>
<td>Under Guidance &amp; Suggestions: Recommend adding the text: Consult the West Yorkshire Historic Environment Record held by the West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service as parts of this area are of potential archaeological significance, particularly the area towards Westgate.</td>
<td>Reference added to page 86/Ings Road Development Site/5.3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UDFCD6</strong> Clayton Hospital Site 112/ Clayton Hospital Site /5.5</td>
<td>Mr Ian Sanderson, West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service</td>
<td>Under Guidance &amp; Suggestions: Recommend adding the text: The Clayton Hospital buildings are of archaeological interest and an archaeological build record is likely to be required prior to development on this site. Please consult the West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service for details.</td>
<td>Reference added to page 112/Clayton Hospital Site/5.5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UDFCD7</strong> Borough Road Car Park Developments 124/ Borough Road Car Park</td>
<td>Mr Ian Sanderson, West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service</td>
<td>Recommend adding the text: Consult the West Yorkshire Historic Environment Record held by the West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service as parts of this area are of potential archaeological interest, particularly the areas towards Northgate (one of the city's historic main</td>
<td>Reference added to page 124/Borough Road Car Park Developments/5.6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD28</td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area 57/ Core Waterfront Area/5.1</td>
<td>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</td>
<td>The pedestrian route on the diagrams is shown as going up Barnsley Road/Bridge Street. It would be great to show the principle of it passing through Rutland Mills and Navigation Walk, away from the main roads and potentially along the waterfront (especially adjacent to the proposed car park).</td>
<td>Diagram on page 57 amended to show a waterfront pedestrian route.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD28</td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area 57/ Core Waterfront Area/5.1</td>
<td>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</td>
<td>One of the key principles I’ve picked up from working on Rutland Mills is maintenance of the flood defences along the river. This potentially has an impact on the Urban Design of the area. There is a note referring to this on the diagrams, but not specifically to the flood barriers/walls.</td>
<td>Note added to Page 57 that the existing flood barriers/walls need to be sufficiently maintained regularly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD28</td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area 57/ Core Waterfront Area/5.1</td>
<td>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</td>
<td>The UDF provides a character appraisal of the Core Waterfront Area but is silent on the current status of Rutland Mills. It is important for the UDF to recognise is that Rutland Mills are disused and derelict. They therefore present a unique regeneration opportunity.</td>
<td>Wording on page 51 altered to reflect this.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| UDFCD28 | Core Waterfront Area | Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City & Provincial Properties PLC | This may be a pedantic point but in our view the UDF over-emphasises the Hepworth Gallery and the need to complement it by suggesting that the regeneration of the waterfront area needs to develop as a cultural and leisure destination. The UDF needs to be consistent with existing Development Plan policy and this requires the Core Waterfront be developed as a vibrant mix use quarter suitable for offices, cultural, residential and leisure uses. The UDF therefore needs supplement existing policies and present guidance on how this policy is to either expressed and/or delivered. One could read the current text of the UDF as introducing new policy different to that established in the current planning framework.

I would suggest that the document confirms that policy narrative and then indicates that the delivery of a vibrant mix use quarter would complement the Hepworth Gallery with support for the following uses:

- B1 offices including offices suitable for creative and cultural industries
- Educational and leisure uses including hotel

The Hepworth Gallery is emphasised throughout the document as we believe it is an important part of Wakefield's character. Additionally, this guidance is not introducing new policy and this is made clear at the beginning of the document.

The UDF adheres to Policy CW 21 but it does not need to repeat it but rather build on its requirements. Following from this comment the wording on page 58 has been amended to make reference to a vibrant mixed use area being created.

It should be noted that Policy CW 21 only allows for small scale retail uses in the Core Waterfront Area identified as part of the Waterfront Special Policy Area. |
- Retail uses including quality food and drink and restaurants, indoor markets and pop-up shops
- Residential uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UDFCD28</th>
<th>Core Waterfront Area 57/ Core Waterfront Area/5.1</th>
<th>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</th>
<th>Text should be inserted into the document about the re-use of the Rutland Mill complex needs to be consistent para 133 of the NPPF?</th>
<th>Additional sentence added at the top of page 10 in the relevant local policy section which states ‘Proposals will also need to be in line with national planning policy, particularly with regard to development affecting the historic environment including listed and locally designated assets’.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD28</td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area 58/ Core Waterfront Area/5.1</td>
<td>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</td>
<td>Although I am not convinced that the guidance and suggestions section adds anything of substance, if it remains it should include the need to undertake a detailed heritage appraisal of Rutland Mills to ascertain the significance of heritage assets to inform detailed design development. I would also suggest that that 2nd bullet does not make sense and should be removed.</td>
<td>Wording on page 58 amended to take account of these comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD28</td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area 53/ Core Waterfront</td>
<td>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</td>
<td>Page 53 – Tootal Street / Gallery Walk is not a ‘no through route’ should be changed to a ‘street’</td>
<td>Map amended accordingly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD28</td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area</td>
<td>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</td>
<td>Page 54 – currently denotes Rutland Mills as all between 4 &amp; 5 storeys. This is not accurate and would suggest this is updated.</td>
<td>We have reviewed this and believe it is appropriate to state most of the buildings are four storey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD28</td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area</td>
<td>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</td>
<td>Page 55 – denotes Rutland Mills as ‘industrial’ surely this would be better as ‘not in use’ or ‘derelict’</td>
<td>Note changed to ‘industrial (or previous industrial)’ for this particular map.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD29</td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area</td>
<td>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</td>
<td>Page 56 – Rutland Mills as ‘grade II’ listed, the site has a GV listing, but as far as we are aware buildings 6 and 15 are not listed. Colours on the buildings need changing to reflect this. The plan on this page shows buildings within the centre of the site that are no longer in existence and should be removed.</td>
<td>The plan on page 56 has been amended to accurately reflect the status of individual buildings with regard to if they are listed (and at what grade) or locally designated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD29</td>
<td>Core Waterfront Area</td>
<td>Mr David Diggle, Turley and on behalf of City &amp; Provincial Properties PLC</td>
<td>Page 58 – Change – ‘Educational and workshop facilities as well as artist and dance studios’ to ‘educational and workshop facilities for performance, creatives and makers’ Could reference be made about a mix and</td>
<td>Bullet point reworded following comment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
remix of use classes that is in line with today’s foreshortening leasing terms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UDFCA30</th>
<th>The Hepworth Wakefield</th>
<th>Simon Wallis</th>
<th>The UDF does not take into account The Hepworth Riverside Gallery Garden, supported by Wakefield Council, which will result in the provision of a new public green space in the heart of Wakefield. The Hepworth Wakefield and Wakefield Council have agreed heads of terms relating to the lease of the space neighbouring the gallery which will become the garden. The garden will open in summer 2018.</th>
<th>Comment noted. UDF now indicates new garden. The provision of new green space is essential for the success of the City and aligns with placemaking principles (Section 2.0 E, I and G).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UDFCA30</td>
<td>The Hepworth Wakefield</td>
<td>Simon Wallis</td>
<td>We would like to raise concerns over the proposal to create a new pedestrian route across the open space adjacent to the gallery, and over the gallery footbridge to the city centre. This will increase pedestrian traffic, in addition to the expected increase in visitors to the gallery due to the development of the garden. As the area will be leased to The Hepworth Wakefield by Wakefield Council, we will be responsible for its maintenance and security. We are concerned with the consequences an increase in pedestrianised traffic will have on security and maintenance (lighting) costs for The</td>
<td>This has now been amended to a riverside pedestrian route and is not shown going through the open space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCA30</td>
<td>The Hepworth Wakefield</td>
<td>Simon Wallis</td>
<td>We very much support the proposal to improve the link between Wakefield Kirkgate station and The Hepworth Wakefield, with the creation of direct pedestrian access from the station and across Doncaster Road. We know from audience research that the perceived isolation of the gallery can be a real barrier to visits. There is an assumption from potential visitors from outside of Wakefield that there will be nothing else for them to do near the gallery and they often have a negative perception of Wakefield. The gallery is working hard to remove these barriers, including working with other venues in and around Wakefield, and would appreciate the opportunity to improve the approach to the gallery for those travelling by public transport.</td>
<td>Support noted. [Aligns with UDF Placemaking Principles D and I]. It is hoped that new proposals currently being developed for Rutland Mills and its surroundings will help provide the potential for additional visitors and for longer stays.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCA30</td>
<td>The Hepworth Wakefield</td>
<td>Simon Wallis</td>
<td>For those travelling to the gallery by car, we would also welcome improvements to car parking, and as such would support the development of a new car park to support the waterfront area. However, the area identified as a car park (page 59/60) would not adequately meet the needs of The Hepworth Wakefield and the wider waterfront development. The gallery's</td>
<td>Comment noted. As the public transport routes are improved there may be less need for private car parking. Page 59/60 detail the site planned to be developed as a car park to support the core waterfront area and has already been granted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
current car park only accommodates 77 cars and is at capacity at peak times: it will not accommodate the gallery’s predicted growth over the next five years.

The car park provides the gallery with a crucial source of income and we are therefore eager to explore options to increase the size of the existing car park or develop a new car park within walking distance of the gallery. This would accommodate the increased visitor figures we predict in the next five years, and continue to generate income improving our financial resilience.

planning permission.

Any additional car parks would need to be considered on their own merits and assessed against relevant local and national planning policy at the time.

Options to explore increasing the size of the current car park would need to be put forward for discussion. A pre-application enquiry may be suitable for this.

General Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UDFCD1</th>
<th>10/ Relevant Policies/1.2</th>
<th>Mr Ian Sanderson, West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service</th>
<th>Recommend the addition of Policy D17: Archaeological Sites.</th>
<th>Development Policy added to page 10/Relevant Local Policy/1.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD8</td>
<td>General Document Point</td>
<td>Mr Roger Parkinson</td>
<td>I am delighted to see the new design ideas feature trees in all the illustrations and I do hope that they are present when the projects are completed. Wakefield has approximately 4% tree cover across the district and the city itself has very few trees. The national average tree cover is 12%.</td>
<td>Support noted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The many benefits of trees are very well known and yet tree planting in the city minimal.

I fully support the planting of many more trees in Wakefield.

| UDFCD18 | 159/Appendix/6.0 15/Placemaking Principles/2. | David Keighley | Suggested alternative route for pedestrians from Kirkgate station to The Hepworth.

We think this is a very good suggestion and would like to see it pursued as a cycle / pedestrian route, giving not only access to The Hepworth but to the new to be built Wakefield riverside cycle route to Castleford.

We would also like to show our approval of the statement on page 15. “Pedestrians and cycle routes should not be an option but a requirement” |

| UDFCD19 | General Document Point | Mrs Elizabeth Jackson | Bio-Diversity. Provision for our 'town birds' is made available e.g. Starlings & House Sparrows etc.

When walking in Cathedral Precinct and Trinity Walk it is a pleasure to see and hear these birds. But I do not notice any nesting boxes being provided for them (apart from some nesting boxes on the trees within the Cathedral grounds). It would also be |

Title of section and wording within section amended to reflect that the link should be designed for cyclists as well as pedestrians.

Reference to biodiversity and provision of nesting boxes has been added to 4.3 Hard and Soft Landscaping following this comment.
wonderful to have Swifts in the city centre by providing nest sites for them e.g. Swift Towers. We now have the wonderful Peregrine Falcons breeding on the Cathedral - thanks to Wakefield Naturalists and the Cathedral staff with a little bit of effort and will, we can make Wakefield a bit more 'wild'.

| UDFCD20 | 33/Car Parking and Green Space/3.2 | Mr Kevin Trickett, Wakefield Civic Society | Within the sections on car use and car parking, we think some thought should be given to changes in technology and the infrastructure requirements for recharging electric cars, driverless car use and so on. Also, with city centre living, it is conceivable that residents will wish to make greater use of car sharing and car-pooling and some provision may be needed to encourage such schemes. | These general points can be made. Development Management require electric car charging points in garages of new development. The introduction to Section 4.0 Overall Strategies (page 36) states the sustainability benefits and environmental value of new smart technologies, such as electric cars, and encourages developers to ensure that these can be accommodated by providing suitable infrastructure. |

<p>| UDFCD21 | 159/Linkages and Pedestrians/6.6 | Mr Kevin Trickett, Wakefield Civic Society | Although the proposal to link Kirkgate Railway Station to The Hepworth Wakefield has been mooted before, we welcome the inclusion of the recommendation for a southern exit from the station within the UDF. | Support noted |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UDFCD22</th>
<th>iv/The Approach</th>
<th>Mr Kevin Trickett, Wakefield Civic Society</th>
<th>Wakefield Civic Society welcomes the production of this Urban Design Framework and is broadly supportive of the proposals put forward within it.</th>
<th>Support noted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD23</td>
<td>14/Placemaking Principles/A</td>
<td>Mr Kevin Trickett, Wakefield Civic Society</td>
<td>Wakefield Civic Society strongly agrees with the concept that 'high quality means high value' and the all future developments should be able to demonstrate the twin principles of high quality design and quality of finish before approval. These principles should be explained in detail in planning applications and the expectation should be that what is shown in plans and 'artist's impressions' is what is delivered - there should be no downgrading of quality of finish, etc., after plans have been approved unless the planning authority and others have had the opportunity to discuss and agree such changes.</td>
<td>Planning permissions are granted based on site plans and elevation plans not on artist impressions. Any changes to the detail of development following a planning approval are likely to need an additional amended permission which would have to be subject to consultation before a decision is made.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDFCD24</td>
<td>29 et seq/Hierarchy and Movement/3.2</td>
<td>Mr Kevin Trickett, Wakefield Civic Society</td>
<td>We would welcome further proposals to reduce the number of vehicle movements through the city centre with the aim of creating a 'largely car free, pedestrian friendly city centre' but we recognise that cars are here to stay for the foreseeable future. We think steps could be taken to deter drivers from using the city centre unless</td>
<td>Comment noted. Wakefield Council continues to work to manage car use in the city centre.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
they are coming into the city to park up or drop off. We suspect that many vehicles coming through the city centre are using it as a short cut, adding congestion but no value for local retailers and businesses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UDFCD25</th>
<th>33 et seq/Car Parking and Green Space/3.2</th>
<th>Mr Kevin Trickett, Wakefield Civic Society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

We support moves to reduce the number of vehicle movements in the city centre but wonder if it is really the case that ‘there are an excessive number of parking spaces within the city centre?’

We accept that there are ample car parking spaces (for most purposes) but acknowledge that they are spread out across the city centre, often associated with retail and office developments. While this will need to be a long-term plan, we think efforts could be made to consolidate some of the public parking areas, perhaps into multi-storey car parks, such as the one proposed for the Northgate/Borough Road Car Park. This would enable the removal of other surface-level car parks and free up the sites for development or green space.

Such a consolidation of parking spaces should also remove the need for vehicles to drive around the city centre to access some of the many smaller car parking spaces that currently exist.

It is agreed that consolidation of parking and subsequent removal of some areas of surface parking would go some way to improve the imbalance between parking and green space in the City Centre. This section and section 4.3 Hard and Soft Landscape Design have been reviewed accordingly.
I writing to fully support the aspirations detailed in this section. But would comment:

**Cycle Lanes:** The hierarchy of best practice from a cyclist point of view would generally be that –

1. Pedestrians, cyclists and motor vehicles have completely separate provision, physically separated as attractively as possible

2. Shared pedestrian & cycle provision physically separated as attractively as possible from motor traffic

3. Cycle lanes on road but with a physical separation as attractively as possible

4. Cycle lane on road separated by signage – white line, colour etc.

The sort of physical separations I have seen on roads have ranged from concrete bollards through tyres sunk into tarmac to much more friendly tubs with small shrubs.

Parking for cycles is also important especially near to heritage attractions and cafes. When possible this could be sheltered but always secure. Blending areas these into the streetscape especially

These points are dealt with in the Street Design Guide and the Wakefield City Centre Street Style Design Guide (specifically for the City Centre). The Street Design Guide is a Supplementary Planning Document (SPDs). We have been careful to avoid repetition of other SPD and approved Council documents but have cross referenced these Guides in 1.2 Relevant Local Policy and 3.2 City Centre Appraisal.
Bike stands placed at shallow angles in lanes take up less space and can also be used to deter excessive riding speeds approaching pinch points. Similarly the use of shrubs, trees, street furniture and sculptures can be used to soften the visual effect of bike parking areas or be used to slow riders.

| UDFCD27 | General Document Point | Mrs Lynne Thompson | I think there should be more green spaces in the town centre. A city park would be the best solution. It does not have to be big; in front of the cathedral would be the perfect place. We should have lots of little bits of green in the city centre. | This point is already being addressed in the UDF through specific site guidance and general guidance for the city centre. |
3. Appendix A
3.1 Summary of Initial Consultation Responses

There were a number of useful written comments. The vast majority of comments/suggestions have been incorporated within the text as amendments or additions. The WCCP and others suggested the inclusion of a City Park, however, Wakefield Council suggest more pocket parks would be attainable.

The majority of comments were concerned with creating a more positive pedestrian experience for users of the City Centre. This aspiration is one of the main themes of the UDF, and is welcomed.

General

The Civic Society has welcomed the production of the UDF. The City Centre Partnership also commented that the UDF will be useful and informative.

There were no negative statements recorded.

There was also interest shown to ensure that the UDF is ‘put into action’ and that the information is disseminated amongst the councillors and others accordingly.

Cars/Green Spaces/Trees/Positive Pedestrian Environments

The Civic Society also would welcome a more pedestrian friendly city centre, and suggest that car users are discouraged from using it as a through route. Wakefield are working on managing car use in the city centre. The Civic Society also welcome a better balance between amount of small car parks and green or landscaped spaces as outlined in the UDF. Mrs Lynne Thompson also recommended the introduction of a small to medium sized city park.

The WCCP also stressed the need for more green spaces in the city centre, including a city park. However the council prefers to include more pocket parks in the city.

General support was noted for the planting of more trees in Wakefield. Wakefield has only 4% of trees compared to 12% tree cover average in other cities. Also there was a suggestion for more provision for nesting boxes for ‘town birds’ has been included.

Suggestion of ensuring future provision for infrastructure for electric cars, car pools and car sharing, within future developments, this suggestion is incorporated.

Integreat Response – Integreat welcomes the comments. The UDF recognises the need for more trees/urban parks/and a more pedestrian inviting city centre, less dominated by car parking and moving vehicles. The document also reflects the fact that good design equals greater
values.

Support was also noted for the new future aspirational route from Kirkgate Station to the Wakefield, from Wakefield Civic Society, and others.

The Waterfront Area

There were a series of suggestions from Turley’s regarding the Core Waterfront area – Wording has been changed to reflect virtually all suggestions.

WYAAS The UDF has been amended accordingly to include advice that West Yorkshire Historic Environment Record and/or the West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service are consulted on several sites when new development is being considered.
3.2 Methods of Engagement

This section illustrates the different methods of engagement undertaken for the Public Consultation of the Urban Development Framework (Draft Consultation Stage).

3.3 Public Exhibition

An exhibition ran in Wakefield One from 12 Jan to 18 Feb 2017. The exhibition was designed to be highly visual and outline the sites covered by the UDF and the aspirations underlying its principles. A large map of Central Wakefield enabled interested parties to identify sites and the boards explained where to find copies of the draft UDF in physical and digital forms and the different ways that people could comment.

This exhibition was one of the ways that we gathered public feedback about the work produced for the draft UDF. We asked whether people liked the UDF, and if they thought it covered the aspects of homes and neighbourhoods that they value.

This was the second public consultation for the UDF - the first ‘scoping consultation’ took place in January and February 2016. The issues raised from written comments, workshops and round-table discussion during the consultation informed the layout and content of the UDF.

Integreat Plus manned the exhibition in:

- Wakefield One (Wakefield Council Offices) on Monday 16 January 2017, Wednesday 18 January and Monday 23 January
- Ridings Centre on Friday 20 January 2017

The dates and times of these manned sessions were advertised in the press notices promoting the opportunity to discuss the UDF with Integreat Plus in person.
UDF Exhibition Boards prepared for public consultation and displayed in Wakefield One and the Ridings Centre
3.4 Meeting with Wakefield Civic Society

Date: 18.01.2017
Time: 09:45 – 11:00
Location: Town Hall, Westgate, Wakefield, WF1 2HQ

This meeting was held as part of the Public Consultation on the new Wakefield Supplementary Planning Documents. The purpose was to gather feedback from the members of the Civic Society of Wakefield on the final drafts of the documents, regarding their legibility and context.

**Summary of meeting:**
Overall, the members of the Civic Society agreed that the documents are logical and support the Society’s vision on regeneration and betterment of the Wakefield District and City Centre. The point was raised that all three documents are hard to read in their online format as they are displayed as spread pages.

The overarching point was raised that Good Design equals to increased Stakeholder Value, and that this is something that needs to be made clear through both the UDF and RDG. IP and the Council share this belief and have already worked closely through the entire process of drafting the documents to make sure that this point is reflected well throughout the documents. In the final steps towards the completion of both documents, IP will make sure to keep this point in mind and ensure that it is made clear in their final version.

Finally, the Society emphasised the fact that these documents need to be put into action in an efficient way in order for their aspirations to be effectively met. The point was raised that amongst completion of the SPDs, Wakefield Council needs to ensure the documents are disseminated amongst the councillors in order for their content to be fully adopted.
Wakefield City Centre
URBAN DESIGN FRAMEWORK &
January 2017 Public Consultation on Draft Documents

OVERALL STRATEGIES | examples of overall strategies guidance

Overall Strategy: Connecting Wakefield City Centre

Overall Strategy: Improve provision of open spaces and strategic routes

Overall Strategy: Enhance opportunities for green spaces in the City Centre and create new open green spaces

Today's Aim
At this stage in the document's development, we would like to find out:

Is the document structured logical to you?
Is the document clear and easy to understand?
Is there anything that you think should be added?

Additional points and information

Slides from presentation with Wakefield Civic Society
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3.5 Meeting with Wakefield City Centre Partnership (WCCP)

Date: 19.01.2017
Time: 14:00 – 15:30
Location: The Management Suite, Trinity Walk

This meeting was held as part of the Public Consultation on the new Wakefield Supplementary Planning Documents. The purpose was to introduce the UDF to the members of the WCCP and gather feedback regarding its legibility and context.

Summary of meeting:
This meeting was opened with a brief presentation on the Urban Design Framework from the Integreat Plus (IP) team, which was then followed by discussion.

Overall, the members of the WCCP members found the document interesting and useful. The main comment raised from the meeting was the necessity for more green space in the City Centre. A City Park was suggested to which IP responded that even though a city park was considered by the team, the Council preferred that the document suggests more indirect ways of greening up the city centre such as with the introduction of pocket parks etc.

A brief discussion was held around the issue that it is the view of the Partnership that there is a general need and desire of the citizens of Wakefield City Centre for more green spaces. It was concluded that this needs to be made clear to the Council by bodies such as WCCP in order for appropriate action to be taken and initiatives be put into place.
3.6 Online Consultation Portal

The Council are producing a Wakefield City Centre Urban Design Framework (UDF) which will be adopted as a Supplementary Planning Document meaning it will have substantial weight when making decisions on planning applications. The UDF will influence the design of key development sites to ensure they are developed in a way which will deliver the best quality for the future, ensuring that each new development will improve the City Centre, co-ordinating existing and future developments. It will seek to improve pedestrian routes, landscaped spaces, public squares and linkages across the city. The guidance will be practical and aspirational.

Following the initial consultation in early 2016 the Council is now publishing a draft of the UDF for comment. Following this consultation the Council will review all comments received and then produce a final version to be considered for adoption.

Please click on the ‘View and Comment’ link below to open the document. You can make comments on the document by completing the comment form. In order to make your comments, you will first be asked to register or login. You will then be presented with a form to enter your comments. Please note any comments you make will not be confidential and will be made publicly available.

**IMPORTANT:** If you already have an account with us please DO NOT register again. Select the login to obtain your Username or Password using your email address you registered with. Alternatively if you wish to inform us of your email address please send details to udf@wakefield.gov.uk or contact us on the number below.

Please submit your comments by 5:00pm Wednesday 8 February 2017.

If you have any questions about the documents, or the commenting process, please contact the Spatial Policy Group on 01924 300417 or email udf@wakefield.gov.uk. Further information about the Local Development Framework is available on the Council’s website.

**Click here to view the consultation.**

**Select Support Documents for additional information.**

**Access:** Login required

**Status:** closed

**Privacy:** If you take part your name may be displayed, your answers may be displayed, your toxicity will not be shown.

**Description:** This is the Wakefield City Centre Urban Design Framework consultation draft.

Screenshot of Wakefield Council’s Consultation Portal
3.7 Comment Form

Comments form available at the exhibitions and libraries across the district

Notes for making comments about LDF documents

1. The preferred way for making comments is online at http://consult.wakefield.gov.uk. Please see the section “Information and How to Get Involved”.

2. You can also make comments by using this form.

3. A separate comment form must be used for each comment you make.

4. Please use capital letters and black ink when completing paper copies of the form.

5. Further copies of this form can be downloaded from the Council’s website at www.wakefield.gov.uk/ldf

6. You may be contacted at a later date to discuss your comments in more detail.

7. Completed forms should be returned either by:
   * email to ldf@wakefield.gov.uk or
   * post to:

   Wakefield Metropolitan District Council
   Spatial Policy
   Planning, Transportation and Highways
   PO BOX 700
   Burton Street
   Wakefield
   WF1 2EB

   Comments must be submitted by 5pm on Wednesday 8 February 2017. Late comments will not be considered.

   Copies of the comments will be made available for public inspection and cannot be treated as confidential.

Data Protection Statement: The City of Wakefield Metropolitan District Council processes personal data under the provisions of the Data Protection Act 1998 in the performance of its legitimate business. Any information held by the Council will be processed in compliance with the principles set out in the Act. The information you supply will only be used and retained for the purpose of preparing the Local Development Framework. It will be saved on the Local Development Framework database and made available to view on the Council’s website.
3.8 LDF Advertisements

Poster distributed around local area advertising Consultation by WMDC
A new vision for developing Wakefield District

Have your say on the design of Wakefield
Supplementary Planning Documents - design guides

- Wakefield District Residential Design Guide (RDG)
- Wakefield City Centre Urban Design Framework (UDF)

How would you improve the design of Wakefield?
Your input will inform the draft documents.
Consultation on the initial documents opens from 12th January to 8th February 2017.

For more information please visit: www.wakefield.gov.uk/spds
To provide comments or to contact the Spatial Policy Group:
✉️ ldf@wakefield.gov.uk ☎️ (01924) 306417
Screenshot of a post promoting the consultation on the Council’s corporate Facebook page
4. Appendix B
4.1 Specific Consultation Bodies as Listed in Regulation 2 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012

(a) Coal Authority

(b) Environment Agency

(c) Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England
    (known as English Heritage, now Historic England)

(d) Marine Management Organisation

(e) Natural England

(f) Network Rail Infrastructure Limited (company number 2904587),

(g) Highways Agency,

(h) a relevant authority any part of whose area is in or adjoins the local planning authority’s area

(i) any person— (i) to whom the electronic communications code applies by virtue of a direction given under section 106(3)(a) of the Communications Act 2003, and (ii) who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any part of the local planning authority’s area.

(j) if it exercises functions in any part of the local planning authority’s area— (i) a Primary Care Trust established under section 18 of the National Health Service Act 2006(9) or continued in existence by virtue of that section; (ii) a person to whom a licence has been granted under section 6(1)(b) or (c) of the Electricity Act 1989(10); (iii) a person to whom a licence has been granted under section 7(2) of the Gas Act 1986(11); (iv) a sewerage undertaker; and (v) a water undertaker;

(k) the Homes and Communities Agency(12); and

(l) where the local planning authority are a London borough council, the Mayor of London;

General consultation bodies as listed in regulation 2 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012:

a) voluntary bodies some or all of whose activities benefit any part of the local planning authority’s area,

(b) bodies which represent the interests of different racial, ethnic or national groups in the local planning authority’s area,

(c) bodies which represent the interests of different religious groups in the local planning authority’s area,

(d) bodies which represent the interests of disabled persons in the local planning authority’s area,

(e) bodies which represent the interests of persons carrying on business in the local planning authority’s area;
4.2 Consultee List

Specific Organisations (21)

(SCI Appendix 2.1)
British Telecom PLC
Canal and River Trust
Historic England (formerly English Heritage)
Environment Agency
Highways Agency
Knottingley to Gowdall Drainage Board
Leeds City Region Secretariat
Local Government Yorkshire and Humber
Mid Yorkshire NHS Trust
Mobile Operators Association
National Grid
Natural England
Planning Inspectorate
Powergen
Historic Monuments of England
RWE – Innogy
RWE – npower
Strategic Health Authority Estates Department
Yorkshire Cable
Yorkshire Electricity
Yorkshire Water Services

Specific Organisations (Adjoining Councils) (8)

(SCI Appendix 2.1)
Barnsley Metropolitan Borough Council
Bradford Metropolitan District Council
Calderdale Council
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council
Kirklees Council
Leeds City Council
North Yorkshire County Council
Selby District Council

Specific Organisations (Town and Parish Councils within district) (25)

(SCI Appendix 2.1)
Ackworth Parish Council
Badsworth Parish Council
Crigglestone Parish Council
Crofton Parish Council
Darrington Parish Council
East Hardwick Parish Council
Featherstone Town Council
Havercroft-with-Cold Hiendley Parish Council
Hemsworth Town Council
Normanton Town Council
Nostell Parish Council
Notton Parish Council
Ryhill Parish Council
Sharlston Parish Council
Sitlington Parish Council
South Elmsall Town Council
South Hiendley Parish Council
South Kirkby and Moorthorpe Town Council
Thorpe Audlin Parish Council
Upton and North Elmsall Parish Council

Walton Parish Council
Warmfield-cum-Heath Parish Council
West Bretton Parish Council
Wintersett Parish Council
Woolley Parish Council

**Specific Organisations (Town and Parish Councils adjoining district) (20)**

(1 SCI Appendix 2.1)

Allerton Bywater Parish Council
Beal Parish Council
Brierley Town Council
Brotherton Parish Council
Byram-cum-Sutton Parish Council
Clayton-with-Frickley Parish Council
Cridling Stubbs Parish Council
Denby Dale Parish Council
Fairburn Parish Council
Great Houghton Parish Council
Hampole and Skelbrooke Parish Council
High Hoyland Parish Council
Hooton Pagnell Parish Council
Kirk Smeaton Parish Council
Kirkburton Parish Council
Ledsham Parish Council
Ledston Parish Council
Norton Parish Council
Shafton Parish Council
Stapleton Parish Council

**General Organisations (Community Groups) (81)**

(ASI Appendix 2.2)

A.I.R.E Environmental Group

Ackworth and District Riding Club

Ackworth Footpath Group

Agbrigg and Belle Vue Allotment Association

Age Concern Wakefield District

Asian Women's Association

Aysgarth Community Association

Brunswick Street Mosque

C I S W O

Castleford Heritage Group

Castleford Riverside Community Group

Castleford Town Centre Partnership

Central Jamia Mosque

Chevin Housing Association

Citizen Advice Bureau

Community Assembly

Crofton Community Centre

Cutsyke Community Group

Development Initiative for Voluntary Arts

DIAL Wakefield

Eastmoor Community Project

Featherstone Historical Society

Federation of Small Businesses (Wakefield Branch)

Ferrybridge Community Centre
Glasshoughton Community Forum
Grange Street Mosque
Groundwork Wakefield
Help the Aged
Hemsworth and District Partnership
Horbury and District Historical Society
Horbury Community Council
Horbury Village Partnership
Horbury, Ossett and Skitlington Regeneration Group
Kinsley and Fitzwilliam Community Resource Centre
Kirkhamgate Community Association
Knottingley Town Hall Community Centre
Lock Lane Community Centre
Lupset Community Centre Association Ltd
Lupset Community Partnership
Minsthorpe Community College
NACRO
Next Generation Community Trust
Normanton Environmental Society
North Wakefield Community Group
Ossett Historical Society
Pontefract and District Archaeological Society
Pontefract and Castleford Federation of Small Businesses
Pontefract and District Rail Action Group
Pontefract Heritage Group
Pontefract Local History Society
Pontefract Town Centre Partnership
Portobello Tenants and Residents Association
Residents Against Toxic Sites
Royal British Legion, Horbury, Skitlington and Ossett branch
SANS (Sharlston and Streethouse) Community Development
SESKU Community Advisory Forum
SESKU Environment Group
Showmen’s Guild
South Hiendley Community Association
South Pontefract Community Partnership
Special Abilities
St Catherine’s Church Centre
St Marys Project
St Michael’s Tenants and Residents Association
St Peter and St Pauls Community Association
Swaffia Mosque
Thorpe Audlin Community Association
Upton and North Elmsall Community Forum
Voluntary Action Wakefield District
Wakefield and District Environmental Action Forum
Wakefield and District Environmental Action Forum South Kirkby
Wakefield and District Sight Aid
Wakefield Asian Welfare Association
Wakefield College
Wakefield District Sports Association
Wakefield Local Access Forum
Wakefield Mosque, Pinderco Ltd/WACF
Wrenthorpe Community Association
Wrenthorpe Environmental Society
Yorkshire Mesmac
Zakria Mosque

Government Departments (11)
(SCI Appendix 2.3)
Communities and Local Government
Crown Estates
Defence Estates
Equality and Human Rights Commission
Government Office for the English Regions
Health and Safety Executive
HM Prison Service Headquarters
Homes and Communities Agency
Housing Corporation
Office of Government Commerce
Yorkshire and the Humber Reg. Housing
**Additional Organisations (34)**

(Sci Appendix 2.3)

Arriva Yorkshire

British Geological Survey

CABE

Campaign for Better Transport - West Yorkshire Group

Campaign to Protect Rural England

Church Commissioners

Coal Authority

Diocese of Wakefield

East Coast Main Line

English Welsh and Scottish Railways

Fields In Trust

Forestry Commission

Freight Transport Association

Freightliner Ltd

Friends of the Earth

GB Railfreight Ltd

Gypsy Council

Gypsy Council for Education, Culture, Welfare and Civil Rights

Home Builders Federation

Home Office

Midland Mainline

National Trust

Network Rail

Road Haulage Association

Royal Mail Property Holdings

RSPB

Sport England (Yorkshire Region)

Sustrans

Traveller Law Reform Project

Virgin Trains

Wakefield District Biodiversity Group

Wakefield Naturalists' Society
West Yorkshire Passenger Transport Executive
Woodland Trust

Additional Organisations (Joint Services) (5)
(Sci Appendix 2.3)

West Yorkshire Archaeology Advisory Service
West Yorkshire Ecology
West Yorkshire Fire Service
West Yorkshire Metropolitan Ambulance Service
West Yorkshire Police

Additional Organisations (Civic Societies) (6)
(Sci Appendix 2.3)

Horbury Civic Society
Knottingley Civic Society

Additional Organisations (Chambers of Trade and Commerce) (5)
(Sci Appendix 2.3)

Horbury and District Chamber of Trade
Mid-Yorkshire Chamber of Commerce and Industry Ltd
Pontefract Chamber of Trade
Wakefield Area Chamber of Commerce Industry Ltd

Other Organisations (18)
(Sci Appendix 2.4)

British Wind Energy Association
Council for British Archaeology
WMDC Councillors (63)
(SCI Appendix 2.4)
63 Local councillors representing all 21 wards.

Members of Parliament and Members of the European Parliament (10)
(SCI Appendix 2.4)
4 Members of Parliament
6 Members of the European Parliament

Additional developers, businesses and organisations who have requested to be/have been consulted (246)
(SCI Appendix 2.4)

A and L Slater Ltd
ABLE Partnership Ltd
Acanthus W S M Architects
Cromwell Wood Estate Co. Ltd
David Storrie Associates
Development Planning Partnership
Dewsbury Road Autos
Disabled Peoples Partnership (South East)
DPDS Consulting Group
Eastmoor Angling Club
F.M. Lister and Son
Featherstone Lions ARLFC
Featherstone Road Club
Featherstone Rovers
Friends of Bottom Boat Park
Friends of Carr Lodge Park
Friends of CHaT Parks
Friends of Flanshaw
Friends of Friarwood Valley Gardens
Friends of Frickley Country Park
Friends of Fryston Wood
Friends of Green Park Ossett
Friends of Hartleys Field
Friends of Haw Hill Park Normanton
Friends of Haw Park Wood
Friends of Heath Common
Friends of Horbury Lagoons
Friends of Illingworth Park
Friends of Kettlethorpe Lake and Woodland
Friends of Kettlethorpe Park
Friends of King George Field
Friends of Lakeside Meadows
Friends of Lock Lane Altofts
Friends of Manygates Park
Friends of Millpond Meadows
Friends of Newmillerdam Country Park
Friends of Newton Hill
Friends of Orchard Head
Friends of Ossett Church Street
Friends of Ossett Green Park
Friends of Pease Park
Friends of Pontefract Park
Friends of Purston Park
Friends of Queens Park
Friends of Saville Park
Friends of Seckar Wood
Friends of Smirthwaite Park
Friends of Stanley Marsh
Friends of Stanley Playground
Friends of Upton Country Park
Friends of Walton Nature Park
Friends of Yorkshire Sculpture Park
Fruitbowl
Fusion Online Ltd
Gasped
Gladman Developments Ltd
GREAT (Glasshoughton /Redhill environment action team)

Gregory Gray Associates Ltd
Hall Green TandR Assoc.
Hare Park Farm
Harris Partnership
Healthy Walks Project
Hemsworth Arts and Community College
Hemsworth Terriers FC
Hemsworth Terriers Juniors FC
Hemsworth United AFC
Home-Start Wakefield and District
Horticare
ID Planning
Ings Public Recreation Ground
Involving Young People
Jehovah's Witnesses
JMP Consultants Ltd
John R Paley Associates
JVH Town Planning Consultants
| Stephenson and Son | Wakefield Archers |
| Stockdale Stables | Wakefield Badger Group |
| Sustainability Advisory Group | Wakefield Cycle Club |
| Tangent Properties | Wakefield Deaf Women's Group |
| The Highwood Stud | Wakefield District Cycle Forum |
| Thomas Eggar LLP | Wakefield District Cycling Forum |
| Thornes Juniors FC | Wakefield District Harriers and Athletics Club |
| Thorntree Farm | Wakefield District Tree Wardens |
| Tireil Ltd | Wakefield FC |
| Townsend Planning Consultants | Wakefield Golf Club |
| Turley Associates | Wakefield High Flyers |
| UK Coal Mining Ltd | Wakefield Hockey Club |
| Upton Cycle Club | Wakefield Learning Partnership |
| Upton United Junior FC | Wakefield Over 50's Action Group |
| Upton Village Angling Club | Wakefield Phoenix Netball Club |
| Virgin Media | Wakefield RSPB Local Group |
| Wakefield and District Environmental Action Forum | Wakefield Shirt Co. Ltd |
| Wakefield Angling Club | Wakefield St Michaels CC |
Wakefield Sunday League
Wakefield Tennis Club
Wakefield Tree Warden Network
Wakefield Triathalon Club
Wakefield Walking Club
Wakefield Wildcats
Walton Allotments Society
Walton and Co
Walton Angling Club
Walton Common Farm
Walton Community Centre and Recreation Ground Ltd
Walton Golf Centre
Walton Guide and Scout Group
Walton Sports and Social Club
Walton Tennis Club
Waterton Park Golf Club Ltd
Waystone Ltd
Well Woman Centre

West Yorkshire Canoe Club
West Yorkshire Tennis Club
Wheels for Havercroft
White Rose Ladies FC
Whitwood Golf Club
Wintersett Wildlife Group
Wrenthorpe Community Association, Wrenthorpe Environmental Society and Ruskin/Barnes Avenue Action Group
Wrenthorpe Rangers FC
Yorkshire Sculpture Park

Additional individuals who have requested to be / have been consulted (188)

(SCI Appendix 2.4)