

Wakefield District

Local Plan 2036

Planning Growth and Protecting Places

Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum and Neighbourhood Area Applications

Statement of Consultation
August 2019

Appendix F

Representations Received and
Council's Responses/Actions



Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
MR DAVID ELLIS	WNFA1	A much needed forum to enable meaningful discussion on all issues that affect people and businesses in the Wrenthorpe area. Specific concerns for my company being traffic control and parking on Wakefield 41 Industrial estate. Litter and rubbish on Wakefield 41 Industrial Estate. Avoidance of serious accident and injury to persons using the Wakefield 41 Estate roads and surrounding area.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Julie Baker	WNFA2	I am in favour of the application to designate Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum and the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Selby Council	WNFA3	Officers at Selby District Council have no specific comments to make on the designation of a Neighbourhood Forum and Neighbourhood Area for Wrenthorpe.	Not Specified	Not Specified		Not Specified		Comment noted, in support.
MRS JOAN KOVACS	WNFA4	I am in favour of the application to designate Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum and the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area. A much needed forum to enable meaningful discussion on all issues that affect people and businesses in the Wrenthorpe area.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Carole Knight	WNFA5		Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Jane Rowbotham	WNFA6		Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr and Mrs Chris and Lynn Marrison	WNFA7	I/we think it is an excellent idea for Wrenthorpe to have a neighbourhood forum who can represent the views of the residents with the council and act as our voice.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr Geoff Bean	WNFA9	The forum will allow for meaningful discussions with Wakefield Planning department on any plan as affecting the residents of Wrenthorpe, which can only be a good thing	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr Stephen Bracher	WNFA10		Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Judith Fox	WNFA11	This is a very welcome proposal. A large proportion of Wrenthorpe residents are active in the community e.g. Wrenthorpe Community Association, Wrenthorpe Rangers, Wrenthorpe Environmental Society, litter picks, bulb planting. A Neighbourhood Forum is a logical extension of this and will be greatly appreciated.	Yes	No	Our street, Silcoates Court, has occasionally been omitted from Wrenthorpe on plans. We are in Wrenthorpe (Morley and Outwood) for voting purposes and our polling station is Wrenthorpe Village Hall.	Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Linda Dickinson	WNFA12	I welcome this proposed Neighbourhood Forum for Wrenthorpe. From a recently drawn community collective on some concerns, the village can come a real opportunity to give Wrenthorpe due designation.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Sally Smailes	WNFA13	Fully in support of Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr Adrian Robertson	WNFA14	Seems fine, do members need to declare conflicts of interest? Conflicts could relate really to investors, landowners, developers or their family members who could benefit from developments that the group would have influence.	No	Yes	Boundary only relates to area that forum would have influence that could impact on the local area. The main risk is converting land use from agricultural to residential which would impact Wrenthorpe. My suggestion is to incorporate as far as possible a belt of non-residential land around Wrenthorpe.	Yes		The Forum has a written constitution, explaining how it will operate. It sets out that forum members should represent views that accord with the forum's objectives, opposed to personal or political objectives. See paragraph 3.4 of the constitution. To convert land from agricultural use to residential use would require planning consent. No change recommended to proposed boundary.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr Mark Honeyman	WNFA15	I am very much in favour of the application to designate Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum and the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area. It will give Wrenthorpe a much needed voice with the Council regarding not only planning matters but what the Community actually want now and in years to come.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr John Muzyka	WNFA16		No	Yes	It puts us (Engine Fold) inside Wrenthorpe along with Lindale Hill these are both Historically part of Kirkhamgate and I wish to remain as part of Kirkhamgate	Yes		<p>The proposed neighbourhood area boundary would be for neighbourhood planning purposes and does not alter the settlement hierarchy of the district, as set out in the adopted Core Strategy (2009). Kirkhamgate is defined as a village and Wrenthorpe is part of the Sub Regional City of Wakefield.</p> <p>The boundary proposed is to the rear of properties at Engine Fold, following a drain / physical feature and hedge / fence lines, following guidance in the National Planning Policy Guidance (NPPG) setting out the criteria to use in establishing a neighbourhood area.</p> <p>No change recommended to proposed boundary.</p>
Mrs G A Lowry	WNFA17		Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs K A Ratcliffe	WNFA18	Very good idea. Would like to become a member.	No	Yes	Should cover Wrenthorpe only, the Village including the schools, not wider area.	No		<p>Comment noted. Details passed to the proposed forum regarding membership.</p> <p>The neighbourhood area proposed is wider than the centre of Wrenthorpe. The Forum have set out the details considered in establishing the proposed boundary in the Statement for designation of Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area submitted with the application.</p> <p>No change recommended to proposed boundary.</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr Gordon Wilson	WNFA19	This is an opportunity to bring the various village groups together for the betterment of the community. It provides the ability to address present concerns in the village whilst also to ensure that the development of the village addresses local needs in terms of transport, schools, doctors surgeries, recreational facilities particularly that which could engage and challenge the youth of the village. The village currently with three roads entering the village provides a short cut for through traffic which converge in the centre of the village. Pedestrian footways are in a poor condition with very few dropped kerbs and many areas where the kerb upstands are far below standards.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Norma Wilson	WNFA20		Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr Jon Rigby	WNFA21	I support the Forum creation. It will be good for bringing the community together.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Neville Rigby	WNFA22	I think the Village should be consulted on any new plans for Village.	No	Yes	Why not make the bypass the boundary and include business park	Yes		Comment noted. The A650, Wrenthorpe Bypass has been considered in establishing the boundary for the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area, however the business / retail areas were removed from the proposed neighbourhood area boundary following feedback from the consultation open days ran by the forum. In discussions with the proposed forum these areas were not considered to form part of the neighbourhood area, only been accessed from the A650 and not via Wrenthorpe itself. No change recommended to proposed boundary.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mrs Marguerite Hogg	WNFA23	I think neighbourhood forum designation for Wrenthorpe is a very good idea which I fully support. This will give Wrenthorpe community more control over their future as a local community and a greater day regarding planning for the area.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Angela Bevils	WNFA24	A very welcomed proposal, which I fully support Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum is the way forward to our already active community, giving more control over the Villages future, bringing new residents and the established groups together.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr Mike Cross	WNFA25	The Forum is an excellent tool & guide for the future protection of Wrenthorpe community & currently has a band of enthusiastic members working to that end.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs June Tovey	WNFA26	As a Kirkhamgate resident I object to longstanding areas such as Engine Fold and Lonsdale Hill being adopted by Wrenthorpe. These are historically part of Kirkhamgate and should remain so.	No	Yes		Not Specified		The proposed neighbourhood area boundary would be for neighbourhood planning purposes and does not alter the settlement hierarchy of the district and existing boundaries, as set out in the adopted Core Strategy (2009). Kirkhamgate is defined as a village and Wrenthorpe is part of the sub regional city of Wakefield. Lindale Hill is included in the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area and this area also includes the Local Wildlife Site (LWS) 57 (Lindale Hill Quarry, Wrenthorpe). No change recommended to proposed boundary.
Mrs Margaret Collinson	WNFA27	I think the area boundary is correct and don't think it needs changing. It is important that Wrenthorpe has a forum so that we can have some control over the planning of any building in Wrenthorpe	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr Jerry Burton	WNFA28	Good idea! Will hopefully stop the village being trampled over roughshod, by big business who do not give a fig about village life. An opportunity to have a say and improve the village and its residents!	Not Specified	Not Specified	This may be an emotive question. The boundary looks fine to me but I'll leave the question to the residents on either side who may, or may not, want to be included.	Not Specified		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Julie McAndrew	WNFA29	An excellent proposal which I fully support and welcome for the good of the whole of the Wrenthorpe village and community!	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs G Kitchen	WNFA30	Whilst I am broadly in favour of the forum, I feel that the terms of reference are too ambitious and are aspiring to reach the controls applicable to a town council - but these people will be unelected. The other community groups within Wrenthorpe should not be swallowed whole into the new forum as they look at and deal with different aspects of life and are more readily accessible by all. The forum will be restricted to governance by membership and some residents find this more officious and intimidatory. Similarly I do not believe that there should be any elected local councillors on the forum body. I was led to believe that a forum should be a non-political animal and this would obviously negate this status. The forum should work with the local elected members and also, the councillors are allowed to speak in their own right at for example a planning meeting concerning their area. Whilst they do this it also allows members of the forum to speak independently, thus allowing more views to be expressed	Yes	No		No	There is an area of land below the boundary leading to Batley road where a 'construction creep' is occurring, although I am unsure whether this is officially classed as Alverthorpe, and so this might be the reason why it is not incorporated. Otherwise, if that is the case, I consider that YES, the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area.	Comments noted. The Town and County Planning Act (1990, as amended) and subsequent Neighbourhood Planning Regulations (2012, as amended) permits local elected members whose area falls within the neighbourhood area concerned to be members of a neighbourhood forum. The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum are required to meet prescribed conditions, set out in Section 61F(7) of the 1990 Town and Country Planning Act, to be able to be designated as forum and the decision whether to designate the proposed forum are scheduled to be made at the Planning and Highway Committee Meeting on 12 th September 2019. It is set out in the Council's Constitution that decisions on neighbourhood planning are delegated to the Planning and Highway Committee. An open membership to those individuals living or working in the neighbourhood area concerned is required to meet the conditions. The two elected members who have joined the proposed neighbourhood forum, have joined as local residents, however they are permitted to join as local elected members. A qualifying body is required to undertake neighbourhood planning work, if there is no parish or town council in the proposed neighbourhood area, as in the case of Wrenthorpe, a group must apply to the local authority to be designated as a neighbourhood forum, who, if designated, will be the only body who can lead the neighbourhood planning process in that area until the forum

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
		<p>in the long run. On the leaflet that was distributed to local houses to notify residents about the forum proposals, I am concerned that Cllr Stansby is on the list of members of the neighbourhood forum members group, but it does not state that she is an elected district councillor. I think this is not being clear, honest and above board from the start. I also have a concern that the documents submitted suggest that the group has been in existence for some time and held consultation meetings. The last meeting to discuss the planning issues was conducted under the guise of the Wrenthorpe Warriors. Can the group start off by being open and upfront about who they are, such as when they "officially" formed as a forum? and who is the leadership pushing for the forum to be created. Are they mainly politically motivated and ambitious or are they politically neutral as such a group should be? As I said at the beginning of my comments, I agree that a group such as this is needed but they need to be realistic about their ambition (after all they are NOT elected) and need to be absolutely honest with local residents about who they are and the sincerity of their aims and ambitions.</p>						designated expires (5 years) or is withdrawn.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr Chris Pearman	WNFA31	See attached letter for full comments submitted. Large areas of open land on the north western side of Wrenthorpe have been included in the proposed neighbourhood area draft boundary. Neighbouring Kirkhamgate is a rural area with farms, walks and the Lindale Hill amenity. Objection to open areas being included in the neighbourhood area boundary / plan.	No	Yes	One area is more rural in nature (Kirkhamgate) and one area is more urban (Wrenthorpe), different characters.	No	Area is not appropriate based on the reasons given for why the boundary should be changed. What would happen if Kirkhamgate wanted to establish its own forum and area and include Lindale Hill?	Comments noted. The proposed neighbourhood area boundary for Wrenthorpe includes Green Belt land to the west of the Sub Regional City Settlement Boundary, the defined settlement which Wrenthorpe is within and Lindale Hill which is located between the settlement boundaries. Neighbourhood areas can have different characteristics, can cross ward boundaries and can cross local planning authority administrative boundaries, where it is appropriate to do so for planning reasons. The boundary proposed should be appropriate for neighbourhood planning reasons. No change recommended to proposed boundary.
Mrs Deborah Hayes	WNFA32	The Neighbourhood Forum will be good for our village, which has a good community spirit. It will give local people a say in the future of the village.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Sylvia Dunn	WNFA33	I believe that Wrenthorpe is now a nice community coming together and looking after our village and each other. It will be good to have future involvement in it.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Margaret Mitchell	WNFA34	I think it is an excellent idea. It gives all residents of Wrenthorpe a say in how to maintain and develop our great village community. The Forum can give one voice to the people, encourage cooperation between existing groups e.g. WCA, WRENS, Rangers, Bowling club and Churches.	Yes	No	I think it is appropriate, all residents have been given a chance to comment on the boundaries at several public meetings (all well attended by young and elderly residents). I feel that the boundary is fine, it has been discussed with the community over several public meetings, and altered where recommendations were suggested.	Yes	I think the area is good. Not too big or limited so it can be manageable for the group to work with. It will be able then to give all residents a say.	Comments noted, in support.
Mr Roy Mitchell	WNFA35	It is a good idea to let all residents of Wrenthorpe to maintain our village. The Forum can give our voice to the people between existing groups.	Yes		I think it is good for all residents have given a chance to comment on boundaries at several public meetings. Well attended by young and elderly residents. The boundary is fine.	Yes	The area is good, not too big or limited so it can be manageable for the group to pass all residents.	Comments noted, in support.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr Peter Bennett	WNFA36	The Neighbourhood Forum will be the vehicle that will produce a Neighbourhood Plan that give the community power to develop shared vision for the area and shape the development and growth of the area for the benefit of the Community.	Yes	No	No	Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr Nick Gilling	WNFA37	I support this Neighbourhood forum application for Wrenthorpe	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Helen Marsden	WNFA38		No	Yes	Our property backs onto Lindale Lane as well as Lindale Hill which has historically been part of Kirkhamgate and I wish Lindale Hill to remain as part of Kirkhamgate.	Yes		The proposed neighbourhood area boundary would be for neighbourhood planning purposes and does not alter the settlement hierarchy of the district, as set out in the adopted Core Strategy (2009). Kirkhamgate is defined as a village and Wrenthorpe is part of the sub regional city of Wakefield. The address provided with this comment is within Kirkhamgate and is not within the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary. No change recommended to proposed boundary.
Mr Jonathan Marsden	WNFA39		No	Yes	Our property backs onto Lindale Lane as well as Lindale Hill which has historically been part of Kirkhamgate and I wish Lindale Hill to remain as part of Kirkhamgate.	Yes		The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary would be for neighbourhood planning purposes and does not alter the settlement hierarchy of the district, as set out in the adopted Core Strategy (2009). Kirkhamgate is defined as a village and Wrenthorpe is part of the Sub Regional City of Wakefield. The address provided with this comment is within Kirkhamgate and is not within the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area boundary. No change recommended to proposed boundary.
Mr John Scholey	WNFA40	This is something that is long overdue, I'm really looking forward to the point where Wrenthorpe residents can have a meaningful input as to how the village develops and grows.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr and Mrs J Hall	WNFA41	See attached letter for full comments. Objection to five fields south of Lindale Hill and adjacent to Batley Road being included.	No	Yes	Remove five fields, this area belongs to the village of Kirkhamgate.	No	We own the fields and feel this area belongs to Kirkhamgate.	<p>Further comments are also made under comment ID WNFA64 for this area (5 fields)</p> <p>The proposed neighbourhood area boundary would be for neighbourhood planning purposes, it would be an additional boundary and does not alter the settlement hierarchy of the district, as set out in the adopted Core Strategy (2009). Kirkhamgate is defined as a village and Wrenthorpe is part of the sub regional city of Wakefield.</p> <p>The proposed neighbourhood area boundary follows a road feature, along Batley Road, until Lindale Hill Farm, turns north and then follows the edge of the Lindale Hall Lane to the posts and up to Lindale Lane, following the boundary of the designated Local Wildlife Site (LWS) 57, Lindale Hill Quarry and Wildlife Habitat Network, along the western boundary. The boundary follows physical features as set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPF).</p> <p>No change recommended to proposed boundary in this location, altering the boundary in this location to exclude the 5 fields would not use the road feature and would use lesser defined boundary features.</p>
Mrs Rosemary Robertshaw	WNFA42	See attached letter for full comments. The residents of Wrenthorpe are capable of making their own representations to the council on planning matters without the need for an unelected, self-regulatory body to do it for them. Who will oversee the conduct of this body? How can the forum be non-political if elected members are involved?	No	Yes	The huge swathe of land between Wrenthorpe and Kirkhamgate from Batley Road to Bradford Road, being assigned to Wrenthorpe by this boundary, is in fact historically Kirkhamgate, which has village status planning restrictions. This re-assignment of the boundary thereby clears the way for future large scale development. Is this what the members of WNF want? An arbitrary boundary drawn up simply because a group of people have been able to traverse a certain area is not the correct basis for changing a boundary of historical significance. The vested interest that landowners have in the position of boundaries disqualifies them from any input.	No	Wrenthorpe is not in need of a neighbourhood area as it is already well served in all matters relating to the area by the two existing groups, Wrenthorpe Community Association and Wrenthorpe Environmental Society.	<p>A parish or town council or a designated forum are the only qualifying bodies permitted to prepare a draft neighbourhood plan by law.</p> <p>A neighbourhood forum's membership is required to be an open membership, to individuals who live or work there and elected members whose area falls within the neighbourhood area concerned.</p> <p>The proposed forum has a constitution which sets out how it would operate. The elected members that are part of the proposed forum have joined as residents but can join as local elected members, which the 1990 Town and Country Planning Act (as amended) permits.</p> <p>A neighbourhood area boundary would be an additional boundary and would not alter existing settlement boundaries. The designated Green Belt included is located between the two settlement boundaries and the forum have included a corridor of it in the proposed neighbourhood area.</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
								No changes are proposed to the Green Belt boundaries in this location in the emerging Wakefield District Local Plan (WDLP) 2036. No change recommended to proposed boundary.
Mrs MARGARET SMART	WNFA43		Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr Tony Smith	WNFA44		Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Margaret Dean	WNFA45	The council should designate this group WNF.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Jo Robinson	WNFA46	I think the Forum will be a useful way of focusing the views of residents and other interested parties about the future of Wrenthorpe. I'm sure that a lot of hard work has already gone into setting up a Forum and more will be required to write a plan etc. but as long as some people are willing to do this I think it will give a more co-ordinated approach to future planning.	Yes	Not Specified	I think that the boundary marked has been carefully checked out but if there is a question about whether some areas belong to Kirkhamgate then I think that the Kirkhamgate people's views should be considered and the issue resolved before finalising the boundary of the area.	Yes		Comments noted, all comments received have been given careful consideration.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Cllr Margaret Isherwood	WNFA47		No	Yes	We, as Ward 17 District Councillors, object to the encroachment of the boundary into Wakefield 17 Ward. We have had no invitation to be part of the discussions regarding this Neighbourhood boundary even though there is a proposal to take part of our Ward into the scheme. As local representatives we feel that by making these areas part of the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area our ability to represent local residents in any matters concerning these areas is being seriously eroded. It must be borne in mind that a lot of residents that we represent live on the periphery of the Neighbourhood Area and many of them have contacted us to inform us that they have serious concerns about the future management of the area and their ability to be involved in any decisions made.	No	With regards to the consultation process we must object to the application on the grounds that there has been a lack of proper consultation with residents of Ward 17 who will be immediately affected by the plan. We feel that those residents who live on the boundary, particularly those living on the boundary of the area of encroachment, should have been provided with the opportunity of an open meeting to hear the proposals and have the opportunity to ask questions and raise their concerns about the proposals. At the very least they should have had a leaflet giving full details. Our understanding is that members of the Wrenthorpe group have, apparently, walked along the boundary and spoken to residents who have given their verbal support to the plan. As local Councillors what we are hearing is that there is little evidence that this actually happened and many of the residents are very unhappy with this statement. We feel that this application should not be approved and that more time should be given to us, as local Ward representatives, to consult more fully with our local residents.	<p>Comments noted. The Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area proposed would be an additional boundary for neighbourhood planning purposes.</p> <p>The proposed area includes land in Ward 21 (Wrenthorpe and Outwood West) and 3 areas of land in Ward 17 (Wakefield North) around the boundary of Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe Meadows, which is a Protected Area of Open Land (ref OL 1), in the current adopted Local Plan.</p> <p>All local ward members were notified of the consultation on the Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum and area applications at the start of the consultation period by email. Officers have met with Ward 17 Councillors during the consultation to discuss concerns raised regarding Ward 17 areas being included within the neighbourhood boundary and the scope of the consultation. In moving forward, it is agreed by the council and the proposed forum, to broaden the consultation with residents adjoining the neighbourhood area boundary. The forum are willing to do this and have confirmed the boundary of the proposed neighbourhood area has been walked, where possible, without trespassing on private land and the members of the forum spoke with any interested parties that were available and wished to engage.</p> <p>The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum have confirmed that posters regarding the consultation were displayed in several locations along the southern boundary of the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area, during the consultation period. Posters were displayed in the following locations in relation to properties in Ward 17:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Silcoates Street, entrance to the park on the litter bin • Hornbeam Avenue, entrance to park on a bollard in the footpath • Gentian Court, entrance to park on a bollard in the footpath • Meadow Lane, entrance to park on a lamppost. <p>The local planning authority (LPA) has a legal</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
								<p>duty to determine the applications to designate a neighbourhood area and forum within 13 weeks from the date immediately following that on which the applications are first publicised, unless there is a further application to consider for the area. There is no other application for a proposed neighbourhood forum or neighbourhood area for this area. The LPA is therefore required to exercise its powers by designating some or all of the area proposed within this period. If the prescribed time limits are not met, the local planning authority must designate all of the area applied for (Section 61G of the 1990 Town and Country Planning Act).</p> <p>54 signed letters of objections have been received from concerned residents at the end of the consultation period, regarding land in ward 17 being included in the Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary,</p> <p>No change recommended to proposed boundary in this location. It is appropriate in planning terms to use the boundary of the designated Protected Area of Open Land (OL 1).</p>
Cllr Elizabeth Rhodes	WNFA48		No	Yes	We, as Ward 17 District Councillors, object to the encroachment of the boundary into Wakefield 17 Ward. We have had no invitation to be part of the discussions regarding this Neighbourhood boundary even though there is a proposal to take part of our Ward into the scheme. As local representatives we feel that by making these areas part of the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area our ability to represent local residents in any matters concerning these areas is being seriously eroded. It must be borne in mind that a lot of residents that we represent live on the periphery of the Neighbourhood Area and many of them have contacted us to inform us that they have serious concerns about the future management of the area and	No	With regards to the consultation process we must object to the application on the grounds that there has been a lack of proper consultation with residents of Ward 17 who will be immediately affected by the plan. We feel that those residents who live on the boundary, particularly those living on the boundary of the area of encroachment, should have been provided with the opportunity of an open meeting to hear the proposals and have the opportunity to ask questions and raise their concerns about the proposals. At the very least they should have had a leaflet giving full details. Our understanding is that members of the Wrenthorpe group have, apparently, walked along the boundary and spoken to residents who have given their verbal support to the plan. As local Councillors what we are hearing is that there is little evidence that this	Council response / action as above.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					their ability to be involved in any decisions made.		actually happened and many of the residents are very unhappy with this statement. We feel that this application should not be approved and that more time should be given to us, as local Ward representatives, to consult more fully with our local residents.	
Cllr Tracey Austin	WNFA49		No	Yes	We, as Ward 17 District Councillors, object to the encroachment of the boundary into Wakefield 17 Ward. We have had no invitation to be part of the discussions regarding this Neighbourhood boundary even though there is a proposal to take part of our Ward into the scheme. As local representatives we feel that by making these areas part of the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area our ability to represent local residents in any matters concerning these areas is being seriously eroded. It must be borne in mind that a lot of residents that we represent live on the periphery of the Neighbourhood Area and many of them have contacted us to inform us that they have serious concerns about the future management of the area and	No	With regards to the consultation process we must object to the application on the grounds that there has been a lack of proper consultation with residents of Ward 17 who will be immediately affected by the plan. We feel that those residents who live on the boundary, particularly those living on the boundary of the area of encroachment, should have been provided with the opportunity of an open meeting to hear the proposals and have the opportunity to ask questions and raise their concerns about the proposals. At the very least they should have had a leaflet giving full details. Our understanding is that members of the Wrenthorpe group have, apparently, walked along the boundary and spoken to residents who have given their verbal support to the plan. As local Councillors what we are hearing is that there is little evidence that this	Council response / action as above.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					their ability to be involved in any decisions made.		actually happened and many of the residents are very unhappy with this statement. We feel that this application should not be approved and that more time should be given to us, as local Ward representatives, to consult more fully with our local residents.	
Mr Firth	WNFA50	I have no objections to the Neighbourhood Forum at Wrenthorpe. We have no Parish Council, so it would be interesting to give them a go.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr and Mrs Smith	WNFA51	I think it's a great idea and I fully support this forum application.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Lumb	WNFA52	I fully support the above.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Betty Deighton	WNFA53	I agree with this proposal, it will hopefully help all the residents together, in deciding what happens in the village.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mrs Jean Gibson	WNFA54	I am pleased that Wrenthorpe will be able to have direct authority to have control over the movements and commitments to be made on behalf of Wrenthorpe.	Not Specified	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support. The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum, if designated, would be able to prepare a draft neighbourhood plan for the area.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mrs Jean Baines	WNFA55	I think it is a very good idea to have a Forum, because I feel we need to be able to voice opinion about what happens in our community.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr Michael Collinson	WNFA56	I fully support this proposal, to give Wrenthorpe people the opportunity to shape the future of their village.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr Stephen Ford	WNFA57	Wrenthorpe has been poorly served historically by Wakefield District Council in those matters which the Neighbourhood Forum intend to address in order to further its aims. Therefore, the establishment of the Neighbourhood Forum can only be a good thing.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mrs Janet Wilsher	WNFA58	As a resident of Kirkhamgate I feel there has not been enough effort to publicise this in our village and yet any boundary between Wrenthorpe and Kirkhamgate affects us too. Virtually no one I have asked knew anything about this. Many of our residents are older and would not have access to the internet. The forum states they have delivered letters covering the area of Wrenthorpe Matters, but that is only for Wrenthorpe residents. There should have been letters to Kirkhamgate residents as well as a consultation day in our village hall. Kirkhamgate residents would not expect to have to go to Wrenthorpe village hall to find out about Kirkhamgate. Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum have stated that they have consulted with all the land owners but we have spoken to many of the people who own land inside the proposed boundary and no one had made any contact from the group.	No	Yes	The Boundary Line has been drawn straight through the middle of our field on the corner of Brandy Carr Road and Jerry Clay Lane with part of it in Wrenthorpe and part in Kirkhamgate. The field starts at Brandy Carr Road then narrows to an L shaped piece that wraps around the wood at the top and back up to join Jerry Clay Lane. The field is mapped as one by the Rural Payments Agency (Defra) as SE3023 5514 should the council wish to enquire and is cropped as a whole. This proposed boundary is clearly in contravention of the Neighbourhood Plans Roadmap (Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government) which states that the boundary should be one that is clearly defined by physical characteristics such as roads or railways. There is no such boundary. This should have been noted by the committee if as they say they have walked the boundary, which incidentally they would have to have the permission of the landowners to do which clearly, they did not. My husband's family can be traced living and working in Kirkhamgate since the 1841 census and he wishes the farm to remain in Kirkhamgate so I object most strongly to the boundary as it has been drawn. Lindale Hill has always been in Kirkhamgate and has been used as a recreational area for its residents for hundreds of years. In living memory there have been beacons organised by our village to celebrate important events, trees planted by residents and benches purchased by the Community	Not Specified		<p>Comments noted and also made under comment ID WNFA62 for the site.</p> <p>The proposed neighbourhood area boundary would be for neighbourhood planning purposes, it would be an additional boundary and would not alter the existing settlement boundaries of Kirkhamgate and Wrenthorpe, as defined in the settlement hierarchy of the adopted Core Strategy (adopted 2009).</p> <p>The National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) sets out relevant considerations for when deciding the boundaries of a neighbourhood area, in paragraph 033, physical features define a natural boundary - for example a major road, railway line or waterway. It is noted that the proposed boundary in the location described follows a field boundary initially, then there is a small gap in the boundary but a field edge is still identifiable, then the boundary follows the boundary of the wooded area up to Jerry Clay Lane. The proposed neighbourhood area boundary would not have any operational impact on how the fields are farmed and it could continue to be farmed as a whole field.</p> <p>The proposed neighbourhood forum have confirmed that the proposed neighbourhood area boundary has been walked were possible, without trespassing, some boundaries have been observed from other locations in the area where necessary and conversations have taken place with some land owners, where people were available to engage with.</p> <p>The history of Kirkhamgate and the use of Lindale Hill is noted. Lindale Hill is located between the settlement boundaries of Kirkhamgate and Wrenthorpe (which forms part of Wakefield sub regional city in the Core Strategy settlement hierarchy) in designed Green Belt.</p> <p>No change recommended, the boundary is considered appropriate for planning reasons, in this location, as discussed above.</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>Association. It was also where the Kirkhamgate Hospital Committee held their annual outdoor concert. According to the book 'The origin and history of Kirkhamgate' by Ronald Swinden JP, Lindale Hill was given to the residents (of Kirkhamgate) under the Enclosure Act 1793/1805 to take stone from the quarry to mend the roads now Batley Road and Brandy Carr Road. The council then held the hill as a trustee on behalf of the Kirkhamgate residents. We happen to own a copy of the original sale catalogue and plan of the land that was sold in 1944 by the Cardigan Estate which clearly states and shows that the land between Batley Road and Lindale Lane known as Lindale Hill Fields was in the Parish of Kirkhamgate. While I appreciate that Wrenthorpe has grown and may now be thought of by those not aware of the history as stretching as far as Batley Road, it should not be allowed to envelope Lindale Hill too. It belongs to the people of Kirkhamgate and should stay within the boundary of Kirkhamgate. I appreciate that the intended reason for the boundary is not to delineate the village of Kirkhamgate from Wrenthorpe, however in time this may be forgotten and I am concerned that the people of Kirkhamgate will lose control of an important part of its history.</p>			
Mr Mike Spinney	WNFA59	Fully in agreement with the proposed neighbourhood area and forum.	Yes	No	I think the proposed neighbourhood boundary is appropriate and suitable - very much so.	Yes		Comment noted, in support.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Ms Carole Crookes	WNFA60	It has an unproven track record.	No	Yes	<p>I object to the proposed boundary of the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Plan (the Plan) as it is inappropriate, not justified and residents/land owners who live adjacent to it have not been engaged with or given the opportunity to participate in its definition.</p> <p>The proposed boundary along the south-east uses the fences of at least 120 residential properties, none of whom have been consulted, been given the opportunity to comment on the boundary or agreed. Along the Alverthorpe boundary there are 25 properties affect (6-18 Orchid View, 18-42 Larkspur Way and 19-29 Gentian Court), Peacock estate has 53 properties (24-26 Eastwood Avenue, 42-56 and 35 Meadow Lane, 4-6 Beech Avenue, 85-139 Peacock Avenue and 96-103 Silcoates Street) and Silcoates Park has 42 properties (2-12 Rowan Court, 1-21 Pear Tree Walk, 11-15 Blackthorn Way, 2-16 Robinia Way, 2-28 and 27 Lombardy Garth and 28 Hornbeam Avenue. These are just the properties whose garden fences have been used and does not include the other properties nearby. The area of land to the rear of Rowan Court and Silcoates Street, which contains engineering works associated with the flood defence works is in Ward 17, Wakefield North.</p> <p>The Ward Councillors for ward 17 have not been consulted or involved in defining the boundary. Due to the lack of consultation with residents of Silcoates Park and Peacock</p>	No	<p>Collins dictionary defines a neighbourhood as 'the immediate environment, surroundings and vicinity'. The Statement says the criteria for the boundary included 'To include Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe Meadows in its entirety'. However, there is nothing in the Statement to justify why the meadows are included in their entirety. As there is no justification for including the meadows in their entirety in the Plan area then the southern parts of the meadows (adjacent Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe estates) should not be included in the Plan area. The south-eastern part of the boundary is some considerable distance (approximately 500 metres/half a kilometre) from any property in the urban area of Wrenthorpe. As a neighbourhood is defined as the 'immediate environment' then this part of the Plan area cannot be classed as Wrenthorpe's neighbourhood as, by definition, it is the immediate environment, surroundings and vicinity of Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe estates. Therefore, due to the distance from the 'village' of Wrenthorpe the neighbourhoods of Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe estates should not be included in the Plan area. Wrenthorpe Forum cannot claim the meadows as being in their neighbourhood area as they are clearly the neighbourhoods of the residents who live close by. Particularly residents of Alverthorpe, Peacock and Silcoates Park estates who actually live in the 120 properties which abut the meadows: 6-18 Orchid View, 18-42 Larkspur Way and 19-29 Gentian Court, 24-26 Eastwood Avenue, 42-56 and 35 Meadow Lane, 4-6 Beech Avenue, 85-139 Peacock Avenue and 96-103 Silcoates Street, 2-12 Rowan Court,</p>	<p>Comments and suggested amendments to the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary are noted.</p> <p>The consultation on the applications to designate a Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum and neighbourhood area has been promoted in various ways, as set out in the Statement of Consultation report. In brief, it has included public notices in the local press, all local ward members were notified by email and posters were placed in and around the proposed neighbourhood area boundary during the consultation period, to reach as many interested parties as possible.</p> <p>The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary includes land in Ward 17, from including the designation OL1 - Protected Area of Open Land – 'Wrenthorpe Valley Wakefield', which extends up to the boundaries with properties in Ward 17 and the Silcoates Park area. This land is protected/ safeguarded open land in the current adopted Local Plan. There are various public footpaths which cross Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe Meadows.</p> <p>In considering this boundary in detail, there are 3 areas of land included within the proposed boundary from Ward 17, the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum have confirmed they did not wish to exclude small pockets of the open land / meadows area in this location for consistency with the council's adopted local plan. St Paul's church and cemetery has not been included (which is also covered by the designation OL 1) given its cultural association with Alverthorpe, this site is in Ward 21. There is a small part of the Wildlife Habitat Network which extends outside the proposed neighbourhood area boundary which includes buildings and Ward boundaries have been used in this location.</p> <p>Neighbourhood area boundaries can cross wards and local authority administrative boundaries where considered appropriate, as set out in the Localism Act (2001).</p> <p>With regards to housing need being addressed in this area, the Wakefield District Local Plan (WDLP) 2036 is currently being prepared which</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>estates and Ward Councillors the boundary in Ward 17 should be removed. The 'Statement for designation of Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area' (The Statement) refers to speaking to landowners and the residents of Alverthorpe but the only justification for the boundary along Silcoates Park and Peacock estates is that it follows the ward boundary (which they have got wrong as it encroaches in to Ward 17). This clearly proves that residents of Silcoates Park and Peacock have not been consulted or even spoken to on a casual basis about the boundary of the Plan. Therefore, the boundary along Silcoates Park and Peacock estates is not justified and so should be removed. The Statement says 'The Area defined is considered to be appropriate to be designated as the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area as: It is a co-terminus with existing local Government ward boundaries'. This is incorrect as the Plan boundary does not follow the ward 21 boundaries anywhere else other than along part of the boundaries with Silcoates Park and Peacock estates.</p> <p>It is considered that the boundary with Alverthorpe and Peacock has been manipulated to allow at least three points for vehicular access - Gentian Way, Alverthorpe and Meadow Lane and Silcoates Street, Peacock. There is no justification for using the ward boundary at this point when it has not been used at any other part of the boundary. The boundary along Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe</p>		<p>1-21 Pear Tree Walk, 11-15 Blackthorn Way, 2-16 Robinia Way, 2-28 and 27 Lombardy Garth and 28 Hornbeam Avenue. Take an average of 3 people per household and that is 360 people who live on the actual proposed boundary of the Plan area. Include properties within, say, 50 metres of the boundary and you are looking at many thousands of people who regard the meadows as their neighbourhood. Of which, not a single person has been formally consulted about the meadows being included in the Plan area. The neighbourhoods of Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe estates should not be included in the Plan area.</p> <p>The meadows adjacent Silcoates Park and Peacock estates contain flood alleviation measures associated with Balne Beck. Engineering works were carried out a few years ago by the Environment Agency as part of the Brooksbank Flood Alleviation Scheme, the aim of which was to try to prevent flooding in Westgate. In times of heavy rain part of the meadows are designed to flood, to prevent excess water getting downstream. There are physical flood barriers in place and the whole scheme, whilst on Council-owned land, is monitored and managed by the Environment Agency. Therefore, as a strategic site, the land associated with the flood alleviation scheme should not be included in the Plan area. In addition to the flood barriers, other engineering works were carried out on the field's adjacent Silcoates Park and Peacock estates to prevent flooding of residential properties, should the main barriers be breached. A large mound has been created on the fields adjacent Pear Tree Walk, Rowan Court and Silcoates Street</p>	<p>sets out the spatial development strategy for the district. If a neighbourhood plan is prepared for Wrenthorpe it will be in the context of this emerging plan. The WDLP, as currently drafted, sets out that the urban area of Wakefield including its suburbs will accommodate 20% of the districts housing requirement. The housing need for the Wakefield District is set as a range in the draft plan. The spatial distribution of housing supply is set out in appendix 5 of the Housing technical Paper (2018). A neighbourhood planning body does not have to make specific provision for housing, the scope of the neighbourhood plan is up to the neighbourhood planning body. A neighbourhood plan can allocate sites for development, including housing but can also choose not to. The Initial Draft Local Plan (2018) does not allocate any sites in the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area and there are a limited number of sites in the wider Sub Regional City, given the significant number of houses still to be delivered in this settlement from existing housing allocations. As a result the housing needs of Wakefield sub-regional city, of which Wrenthorpe is a part, are being met in other locations outside of Wrenthorpe and there is no requirement for any of the proposed WDLP housing requirement to be met through the production of a Neighbourhood Plan for Wrenthorpe. It is likely that any housing delivered in the Wrenthorpe area would be delivered through windfall housing development.</p> <p>The current designation OL 1 – Protected Area of Open Land is proposed to be carried forward in the emerging new local plan (ref LP782) as well as local policy WLP 47 (Protected Areas of Open Land), the Local nature Reserve (LNR 15 – Alverthorpe Meadows and Wrenthorpe Park) and the Wildlife Habitat Network (WHN) in this location.</p> <p>The Statement submitted for the designation of Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area sets out why the area proposed is considered appropriate, in line with the adopted and emerging Local Plans and so not to leave any gaps. This is the reason for the designation OL 1 being included and the Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe Meadows, up to the boundary with Alverthorpe / Silcoates Park area.</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>estates is not justified and so should be removed. According to the Statement 'The initial map used to consult with residents was based on the delivery area for Wrenthorpe Matters newsletter which goes to all households that Wrenthorpe Community Association Ltd recognise as the Wrenthorpe Village area.' If the Wrenthorpe area has already been defined and that was the starting point for the extent of the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area then what justification is there for the boundary to be extended over to Silcoates Park and Carr Gate/Brandy Carr? The Statement does not provide any justification for extending the boundary by some half a kilometre. The boundary at Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe estates is not justified and so should be removed. Also, according to the Statement 'At each of the Open Days an outline area map of the proposed WNA was made available. Attendees were asked to indicate what they felt was an appropriate boundary for Wrenthorpe that they recognise. Over the three Open Days the boundary was amended to reflect the views of residents.' The Statement does not present clear justification for extending the boundary - other than it being on the whim of Wrenthorpe residents with pens! The boundary at Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe estates is not justified and so should be removed. Establishing the boundary south west of WNA reflects the views of those residents and forms a natural boundary with Alverthorpe.</p>		<p>which should divert any water away from the properties. These measures are in OUR neighbourhood to protect OUR properties. The flood alleviation scheme has no connection with the urban area of Wrenthorpe as it is downstream and almost half a kilometre away from the nearest Wrenthorpe property. Therefore, the land associated with the flood alleviation scheme should not be included in the Plan area.</p> <p>The boundary by Peacock and Silcoates Park estates includes land in Ward 17, Wakefield North. The boundary along the back of the properties on the east of Silcoates Street, along the rear of Rowan Court, side of 21 Pear Tree Walk, to the front of all the properties on Pear Tree Walk, to the front of all the properties on Robinia Walk and the rear of properties on Lombardy Garth is in Ward 17, Wakefield North. The ward boundary actually follows the path between Silcoates Street and Lombardy Garth and does not include the land between the path and Blackthorn Way.</p> <p>The Councillors for ward 17, Wakefield North have not been consulted or invited to participate in defining the boundary, Therefore, land in ward 17 should not be included in the Plan area. The Statement claims that Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum (WNF) has held three open days since August 2018 to engage and consult with residents to define the Neighbourhood Area. However, the residents of Silcoates Park, in particular, have not been consulted and no attempt has been made to engage with residents whose properties abut or are adjacent the proposed boundary. The meadows adjacent Silcoates Park, Peacock</p>	<p>There is no other proposed neighbourhood area under consideration for this area and amendments can be made to the neighbourhood areas by the proposed forum with a further application and consultation or by the council in considering other applications.</p> <p>Consultation on the Wrenthorpe draft neighbourhood plan will be broadened to include residents adjoining the boundary of the area, where appropriate, in moving forward. The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum have actively encourage participation from all interested parties and have engaged with land owners and residents where available in walking the route or where they have been contacted directly.</p> <p>No change recommended, the boundary is considered appropriate for planning reasons in this location.</p> <p>The use of PROW's in this location would split designation OL 1 in this location and would not provide a logical boundary for planning purposes excluding areas of the meadows. Strategic Leisure Corridor LC 32 also crosses the Meadows. The neighbourhood area boundary would not impact on the location of existing flood alleviation works in ward 17 located in the meadow area.</p> <p>The use of SHLAA parcels of land would not be appropriate to define the western boundary in designated Green Belt, such parcels of land carry no planning status and could change over time. It does not provide a logical boundary in this location. The proposed boundary includes a corridor of Green Belt between the two settlement boundaries.</p> <p>It is considered appropriate to include Melbourne House and the boundary up to the A650 – Wrenthorpe Bypass. Melbourne House is not located with the defined settlement boundary of Green Belt Settlement (GBS) 1 – Carr Gate, in the current adopted Local Plan. The neighbourhood area boundary in this location follows Carr Gate Beck from Batley Road, then the rear/northern boundary of the Melbourne House site, before using the A650 (Wrenthorpe Bypass) as the</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>There is no mention of the boundary abutting Peacock and Silcoates Park estates reflecting the views of those residents. This proves that the residents of Silcoates Park and Peacock estates were not given a chance to voice their views or participate in defining the boundary. As the Statement clearly omits to mention the Silcoates Park and Peacock estate residents then the boundary which runs along Silcoates Park and Peacock estates is not justified and so should be removed.</p> <p>Melbourne House and locally surrounding properties are considered to be part of Wrenthorpe. It would appear that the only people who consider Melbourne house to be in Wrenthorpe are the people of Wrenthorpe. All other residents of Wakefield consider Melbourne House (John Wroe's house) to be Carr Gate. Carr Gate beck even runs along the back of the property. There is no justification for including Melbourne House, and thus extending the Plan boundary, up to Carr Gate. As it is not justified, the boundary including Melbourne House should be removed.</p> <p>For the reasons set out above I object to the boundary, as proposed, and think a more appropriate boundary for Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe meadows would be: From the existing boundary between Silcoates School and St. Paul's cemetery follow the path easterly north of the wooded area. Follow the western boundary of the same wooded</p>		<p>and Alverthorpe estates are OUR 'immediate environment, surroundings and vicinity' and therefore OUR neighbourhood and should not be included in the Plan area. Wrenthorpe Matters newsletter contains a section that informs the residents of the progress of the Forum, the Neighbourhood Area and publicity about the Open Days, the Statement makes it clear that this only applies to people who live in the 'Wrenthorpe Village Area'. It goes on to say 'Other residents living on the edge of the boundary have been involved with discussions and the boundary has been amended to align with their views. No one on Silcoates Park has been consulted, notified of the open days or given the opportunity to have their say on the boundary. There is a Silcoates Park Facebook page and no one has been consulted, the Forum could have contacted the Facebook page but didn't. Nothing has been included in the Statement to support this claim, who, when and what was discussed? As there is no evidence of consultation and agreement, the land adjacent Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe estates should not be included in the Plan area. The Statement refers to speaking to landowners and the residents of Alverthorpe but the only justification for the boundary along Silcoates Park and Peacock estates is that it follows the ward boundary (which they have got wrong as it encroaches in to Ward 17). This clearly proves that residents of Silcoates Park and Peacock and not been consulted or even been spoken to on a casual basis about the boundary of the Plan. Due to the lack of consultation and agreement with neighbouring residents the land adjacent Silcoates Park and Peacock estates should not be included in the Plan area.</p>	boundary.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>area. Go easterly along the northern boundary of the same wooded area until it reaches the start of the Public Right of Way follow (Footpath 11 in the Wakefield Parish). Follow Footpath 11 (which was historically an extension of Silcoates Street) south-easterly until the PRow meets the drain and PRow (Footpath 9 in the Wakefield Parish). Follow footpath 9 north-easterly along the side of the drain to the footbridge over the beck (Footpath 39 in the Stanley Parish). Follow footpath 39 north-easterly until it meets the path which goes easterly up to Fox Lane. Go under the bridge at Fox Lane. Follow the side of the railway embankment south-easterly until it re-joins the original Plan boundary at Whitehall Crescent. The Public Rights of Way, Footpaths 11, 9 and 39 show a clear divide between the parishes of Stanley and Wakefield. Only including land within the Stanley parish would provide a more logical, proportionate and appropriate boundary compared to that currently proposed. Also, ProWs are historic and are less likely to change compared to Boundary Commission changes thus making this proposed boundary more robust.</p>		<p>Members of the Forum physically walked the boundary to check that it is all a definable boundary such as a fence, road, footpath, hedgerow, beck etc. in line with existing local Government ward boundaries. In so doing the members walking the boundary have also met with and discussed the land in the Green Belt area with the landowners to check their views and amend the boundary as necessary. A considerable number of landowners by the north-western boundary of the Plan area have submitted their land to Wakefield Council asking it to consider their land for residential development. The Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) identifies at least 10 parcels of land (120360, 120361, 171302, 120365, 18310, 954, 120375, 161301, 956 and 237548). Nothing has been included in the Statement to support this claim "who, when and what was discussed? I agree that the parcels of land identified in SHLAA are suitable for inclusion in the Plan area, however, the Plan area extends beyond this land to include a large area of green belt which may be considered as being in the neighbourhoods of Kirkhamgate, Brandy Carr and Carr Gate. As it is not justified, the non-SHLAA solely green belt land should not be included in the Plan area.</p> <p>A Neighbourhood Plan should be just that - a Plan for a particular neighbourhood. Collins dictionary defines a neighbourhood as 'the immediate environment, surroundings and vicinity'. The Plan area as proposed does not restrict itself the Wrenthorpe's the immediate environment, surroundings and vicinity as it extends some half a kilometre from the urban area. This</p>	

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
							<p>cannot be regarded as Wrenthorpe's neighbourhood. In fact, it extends so far that it takes in the neighbourhoods of Alverthorpe, Peacock and Silcoates Park estates 'right up to residents' fences. The meadows at these points are the neighbourhoods of those, and adjacent, residents as they are literally on their doorsteps.</p> <p>We would like to reserve the right to prepare a Neighbourhood Plan for OUR own neighbourhoods but by including OUR neighbourhoods in the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Plan area will deny us our rights as citizens.</p> <p>It is well known that the members of the proposed Forum do not want any further housing development in the Wrenthorpe urban area. However, a Neighbourhood Plan cannot be used to prevent development and a Plan must ensure that emerging evidence of housing need is addressed. Wrenthorpe is within the settlement of Wakefield City. Wakefield City, as the largest settlement in the District, is required to provide a considerable amount of land for housing development. The Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Plan has to accommodate part of that housing need but the members of the Forum have made it perfectly clear that they do not want any further housing development. A cynical person may believe that if Wrenthorpe have to provide for housing need in their Plan that if they extend the Plan area as wide as possible they can allocate housing on the extremities of the Plan area well away from Wrenthorpe and in other neighbourhoods (such as OURS!) - a classic example of 'Nimbyism'. Again, a cynical person may believe, that is why they have put the boundary right</p>	

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
							<p>up to the residential properties on Alverthorpe, Peacock and Silcoates Park estates. Also, why they have included at least 3 vehicular access points in the area - the end of Silcoates Street, Meadow Lane and, in particular, Gention Court, Alverthorpe. That will also account for the lack of consultation - the Forum did not want us to be able to scupper their plans. What I believe is a more appropriate boundary for Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe meadows has been set out above. There is NO justification, or agreement with residents, for including OUR neighbourhoods in THEIR Neighbourhood Plan Area. The meadows adjacent Alverthorpe, Peacock and Silcoates Park estates, are OUR neighbourhoods as they constitute OUR 'immediate environment, surroundings and vicinity' and should not be included in the Plan area.</p>	

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Ms. Carole Crookes - Silcoates park Action Group (SPAG)	WNFA61	The do not have any thoughts for anyone than residents of Wrenthorpe, there has been no consultation with residents who abut the proposed boundary and it has made false statements in their application regarding consultation. If the Forum cannot be trusted at this stage then it does not bode well for the future!	No	Yes	The Silcoates Park Action Group (SPAG) object to the proposed boundary of the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Plan (the Plan) as it is inappropriate, not justified and residents who live adjacent to it have not been consulted or given the opportunity to participate in its definition. Adjacent residents will also be excluded in the future from having any say on what happens to adjoining land - land in their own neighbourhoods. We can provide clear evidence to justify why the boundary should be changed and can provide evidence to support the appropriateness of an alternative boundary. The proposed boundary along the south-east uses the property boundaries of at least 120 residential properties. Along the boundary with Alverthorpe there are 27 properties affected (6-18 Orchid View, 18-44 Larkspur Way and 19-29 Gentian Court), on Peacock estate 53 properties are affected (24-26 Eastwood Avenue, 42-56 and 35 Meadow Lane, 4-6 Beech Avenue, 85-139 Peacock Avenue and 96-103 Silcoates Street) and on Silcoates Park there 41 properties affected (2-12 Rowan Court, 1-21 Pear Tree Walk, 11-15 Blackthorn Way, 2-16 Robinia Way, 2-28 and 27 Lombardy Garth). The 'Statement for designation of Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area' (The Statement) makes two separate claims with regards the proposed boundary: Establishing the boundary south west of WNA reflects the views of those residents and forms a natural boundary with Alverthorpe. Members of the	No	Collins dictionary defines a neighbourhood as 'the immediate environment, surroundings and vicinity'• . Not all of the area defined meets the criteria of being Wrenthorpe's neighbourhood as a large part of the area meets the definition as being the environment, surroundings and vicinity of Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe estates. The land adjacent Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe estates is not suitable for designation as part of the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood area. The Statement says the criteria for the boundary included 'To include Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe Meadows in its entirety'• However, no evidence has been provided to justify why the meadows are included in their entirety. As no evidence has been provided by the Forum for including the Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe meadows in their entirety then the meadows furthest away from Wrenthorpe at Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe are not suitable for designation within the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood area. The meadows adjacent Silcoates Park and Peacock estates contain flood alleviation measures associated with Balne Beck. Engineering works were carried out a few years ago by the Environment Agency as part of the Brooksbank Flood Alleviation Scheme, the aim of which was to try to prevent flooding in Westgate. In times of heavy rain part of the meadows are designed to flood, to prevent excess water getting downstream. There are physical flood barriers in place and the whole scheme, whilst on Council-owned land, is monitored and managed by the Environment Agency. As a strategic site, the land associated with the flood alleviation scheme is not suitable to be designated as part	Comments and suggested amendments for the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary are noted. The Consultation has been promoted in various ways, as set out in the Statement of Consultation report, including public notices in the local press, all local ward members were notified by email and posters were placed around the boundary during the consultation period to reach as many interested parties as possible. The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum have walked the boundary, where possible, without trespassing and engaged with interested parties where available. The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary includes land in Ward 17, including the designation OL1 - Protected Area of Open Land - Wrenthorpe Valley in this location, which extends up to the boundaries with properties in Ward 17 and the Silcoates Park area. This is protected open land in the current adopted Local Plan. There are various public footpaths which cross Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe Meadows. In this boundary location there are 3 areas of land included within the proposed neighbourhood area boundary from Ward 17, the forum have confirmed they did not wish to exclude small pockets of the open land / meadows area for consistency with the council's adopted local plan. St Paul's church and cemetery has not been included (which is part of OL 1) as it is considered to serve Alverthorpe and this site is in Ward 21. There is a small part of Wildlife Habitat Network (WHN) which extends outside the proposed neighbourhood area boundary which includes buildings and the Ward boundaries have been used in this location. 54 signed letters of objections have been received from residents adjoining the southern boundary of the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary, from the Silcoates Park area, which are considered further below. No changes are recommended to the proposed boundary in this location. The boundary is considered appropriate for planning reasons including the designation OL1, in this boundary

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>Forum have physically walked the boundary to check that it is all a definable boundary such as a fence, road, footpath, hedgerow, beck etc. in line with existing local Government ward boundaries. In so doing the members walking the boundary have also met with and discussed the land in the Green Belt area with the landowners to check their views and amend the boundary as necessary. Other residents living on the edge of the boundary have been involved with discussions and the boundary has been amended to align with their views. The Forum have clearly stated that people living on the edge of the boundary along the south-west of the proposed Plan area have been involved in defining the boundary and have been consulted. These statements are untrue and no evidence has been provided, by the Forum, to the contrary. The SPAG has provided evidence to disprove this claim. Members of SPAG have contacted (where possible and face-to-face), the owners/tenants of the 27 properties which abut the boundary in Alverthorpe (6-18 Orchid View, 18-44 Larkspur Way and 19-29 Gentian Court) and Silcoates Park (2-12 Rowan Court, 1-21 Pear Tree Walk, 11-15 Blackthorn Way, 2-16 Robinia Way, 2-28 and 27 Lombardy Garth. Despite the Forum's claim that these people have been involved with discussions and the boundary has been amended to align with their views not one of these residents: Knew anything about the open days. Had been asked for their views on the boundary,</p>		<p>the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood area. In addition to the flood barriers, other engineering works were carried out on the field's adjacent Silcoates Park and Peacock estates to prevent flooding of residential properties, should the main barriers be breached. A large mound has been created on the fields adjacent Pear Tree Walk, Rowan Court and Silcoates Street which should divert any water away from the properties. These measures are in OUR neighbourhood to protect OUR properties. The flood alleviation scheme has no connection with the urban area of Wrenthorpe as it is downstream and almost half a kilometre away from the nearest Wrenthorpe property. The land associated with the flood defence scheme for Silcoates Park is not suitable to be designated as part the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood area. The boundary by Peacock and Silcoates Park estates includes land in Ward 17, Wakefield North. The boundary along the back of the properties on the east of Silcoates Street, along the rear of Rowan Court, side of 21 Pear Tree Walk, to the front of all the properties on Pear Tree Walk, to the front of all the properties on Robinia Walk and the rear of properties on Lombardy Garth is in Ward 17, Wakefield North. The land in Ward 17 is not suitable to be designated as part the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood area. The residents of Silcoates Park would like to reserve the right to prepare a Neighbourhood Plan for OUR own neighbourhood therefore, it is not suitable for our neighbourhood to be designated as part the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood area as it will deny us our rights as citizens. There is NO justification, or agreement with residents of Silcoates Park for including OUR neighbourhood in the</p>	<p>location and some small sections of Ward 17.</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>particularly at Alverthorpe. Had any involvement whatsoever with regards the boundary. Rather than just use statements in our objection, which cannot be substantiated, when speaking to the residents of Alverthorpe and Silcoates, we asked whether they would be willing to sign a form confirming their objections and that they had not been consulted. These signed forms of objection have been submitted to the Council. SPAG focussed on the residents whose properties abut the boundary but when walking round other nearby residents were also keen to sign an objection form. SPAG have provided evidence that the boundary, as proposed, should be changed as residents have not been consulted or involved with discussions. Whereas, the Forum have not provided any evidence to support the claims in the Statement that residents along the south-eastern boundary have been involved with discussions and reflects the views of those residents. Evidence clearly proves that the boundary along the south-east of the Plan area has not been justified and should be changed. The Statement says 'The Area defined is considered to be appropriate to be designated as the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area as: It is a co-terminus with existing local Government ward boundaries'. This is incorrect as the Plan boundary does not follow the Ward 21 boundaries anywhere else other than along part of the boundaries with Silcoates Park and Peacock estates. Also, the south-eastern boundary does not follow the</p>		<p>Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Area. The meadows adjacent Alverthorpe, Peacock and Silcoates Park estates, do not constitute the neighbourhood of Wrenthorpe, and never have, therefore they are unsuitable to be designated as part of Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area.</p>	

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>Ward 21 boundary to the rear of 96-103 Silcoates Street on Peacock estate and on 2-12 Rowan Court, 1-21 Pear Tree Walk, 11 and 15 Blackthorn Way, 2-16 Robinia Way, 2-28, 26 and 27 Lombardy Garth as all of these properties are in Ward 17, Wakefield North. Evidence clearly shows that the boundary along the south-east of the Plan area cannot be justified by using the Ward 21 boundary as this approach has not been taken at any other point along the boundary and it also uses properties in Ward 17. The boundary along the south-east of the Plan area has not been justified and should be changed. According to the Statement 'The initial map used to consult with residents was based on the delivery area for Wrenthorpe Matters newsletter which goes to all households that Wrenthorpe Community Association Ltd recognise as the Wrenthorpe Village area.' The key statement there is 'recognised as the Wrenthorpe Village area' which clearly defines what they consider to be their neighbourhood. For many years the people of Wrenthorpe have not considered the meadows adjacent Alverthorpe, Peacock and Silcoates Park estates as being part of Wrenthorpe's neighbourhood and have used an actual boundary drawn on a map to define Wrenthorpe's neighbourhood. The Wrenthorpe area boundary has already been defined (and has been used as that for many years) and that was the starting point for the extent of the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Area no</p>			

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>evidence has been provided by the Forum to justify the boundary now being extended approximately half a kilometre towards Silcoates Park. As no evidence has been provided by the Forum for extending the boundary of the original 'village area' by approximately half a kilometre from the nearest property in Wrenthorpe then the boundary should be changed. Although SPAG object to the proposed boundary it is willing to support an alternative boundary which it considers to be more appropriate with regards to the south-eastern boundary: From the existing boundary between Silcoates School and St. Paul's cemetery follow the path easterly, north of the wooded area. Go north along the western boundary of the same wooded area. Go easterly along the northern boundary of the same wooded area until it reaches the start of the Public Right of Way follow (Footpath 11 in the Wakefield Parish). Follow Footpath 11 (which was historically an extension of Silcoates Street) south-easterly until the PRoW meets the drain and PRoW (Footpath 9 in the Wakefield Parish). Follow footpath 9 north-easterly along the side of the drain to the footbridge over the beck (Footpath 39 in the Stanley Parish). Follow footpath 39 north-easterly until it meets the path which goes easterly up to Fox Lane. Go under the bridge at Fox Lane. Follow the side of the railway embankment south-easterly until it re-joins the original Plan boundary at Whitehall Crescent. The Public Rights of Way, Footpaths 11, 9</p>			

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>and 39 show a clear divide between the historic civil parishes of Stanley and Wakefield. Only including land within the Stanley parish would provide a more logical, proportionate and appropriate boundary compared to that currently proposed. Also, as ProWs are historic they are less likely to change compared to Ward boundaries thus making this proposed boundary more robust for the future.</p>			

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr Graham Wilsher	WNFA62	<p>As a resident of Kirkhamgate I feel there has not been enough effort to publicise this in our village and yet any encroachment of Kirkhamgate affects our residents too. Virtually no one I have asked knew anything about this. Many of our residents are older and would not have access to the internet. The forum states they have delivered letters covering the area of Wrenthorpe Matters, but that is only for Wrenthorpe residents only. When I asked a forum member I was told that the council did not require Kirkhamgate to be consulted which I object to. There should have been letters to Kirkhamgate residents as well as a consultation day in Kirkhamgate village hall. Kirkhamgate residents would not expect to have to go to Wrenthorpe village hall to find out about Kirkhamgate. Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum have stated in the Statement for Designation that they have consulted with all the land owners but until I contacted them no one had contacted me nor many of my fellow landowners.</p>	No	Yes	<p>The Boundary Line has been drawn straight through the middle of our field on the corner of Brandy Carr Road and Jerry Clay Lane splitting it between the two areas. The field starts at Brandy Carr Road then narrows to an L shaped piece that wraps around the wood at the top and back up to join Jerry Clay Lane. The field is mapped as one by the Rural Payments Agency (Defra) as SE3023 5514 should the council wish to enquire and is cropped as a whole. This proposed boundary is clearly in contravention of the Neighbourhood Plans Roadmap (Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government) which states that the boundary should be one that is clearly defined by physical characteristics such as roads or railways. There is no such boundary. This should have been noted by the committee if as they say they have walked the boundary, which incidentally they would have to have my permission to do which clearly, they did not. Mr Gordon Wilson of the WNF has since been to see me and agreed that the field needs to be removed from the Neighbourhood Area. My family can be traced living and working in Kirkhamgate since the 1841 census and like many farmers have an emotional attachment to the land my family have cared for so long. I wish the farm to remain in Kirkhamgate so I object most strongly to the boundary as it has been drawn. Lindale Hill has always been in Kirkhamgate and has been used as a recreational area for its residents for hundreds of years. In living memory there have</p>	Not Specified		<p>Comments noted and also made under comment ID WNFA58 for the site.</p> <p>The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary is for neighbourhood planning purposes, it would form an additional boundary and would not alter the existing boundaries of Kirkhamgate and Wrenthorpe, as defined in the adopted Core Strategy. Wrenthorpe is part of the Sub Regional City of Wakefield.</p> <p>The National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) sets out relevant considerations when deciding the boundaries of a neighbourhood area, in paragraph 033, physical features define a natural boundary - for example a major road, railway line or waterway. It is noted that the proposed boundary in the location described follows a field boundary initially, then there is a small gap in the boundary but a field edge is still identifiable on the map, then the boundary follows the boundary of the wooded area up to Jerry Clay Lane. The neighbourhood area boundary would not have any operational impact on how the fields are farmed and it could continue to be farmed as a whole field.</p> <p>The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum have confirmed that the neighbourhood area boundary has been walked were possible, without trespassing, some boundaries have been observed from other locations in the area where necessary and conversations have taken place with some land owners/residents, where people were available.</p> <p>The chair of the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum has confirmed that discussions have taken place with the landowner, where a personal option was expressed and not that of the forum or council regarding any possible amendments to the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood boundary.</p> <p>No change recommended, the boundary is considered appropriate for planning reasons, in this location, as discussed above.</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					<p>been beacons organised by our village to celebrate important events, trees planted by residents and benches purchased by the Community Association. It was also where the Kirkhamgate Hospital Committee held their annual outdoor concert. According to the book 'The origin and history of Kirkhamgate' by Ronald Swinden JP, Lindale Hill was given to the residents (of Kirkhamgate) under the Enclosure Act 1793/1805 to take stone from the quarry to mend the roads now Batley Road and Brandy Carr Road. The council then held the hill as a trustee on behalf of the Kirkhamgate residents. We happen to own a copy of the original sale catalogue and plan of the land that was sold in 1944 by the Cardigan Estate which clearly states and shows that the land between Batley Road and Lindale Lane known as 'Lindale Hill Field' was in the Parish of Kirkhamgate. While I appreciate that Wrenthorpe has grown and may now be thought of by those not aware of the history as stretching as far as Batley Road, it should not be allowed to envelope Lindale Hill too. It belongs to the people of Kirkhamgate and should stay within the boundary of Kirkhamgate. I appreciate that the intended reason for the boundary is not to delineate the village of Kirkhamgate from Wrenthorpe, however in time this may be forgotten and I am concerned that the people of Kirkhamgate will lose control of an important part of its history.</p>			

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr & Mrs Alison Lodge	WNFA63	We think the neighbourhood forum is an excellent idea so that local people can have their say on local issues affecting Wrenthorpe	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr and Mrs J Hall	WNFA64	The proposed area for Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum goes far beyond the area it is intended to represent. We write on behalf of Mr J Hall who is partially sighted and has not been able to see or read any of the published information. The points below are included on his behalf.	No	Yes	The five fields south of Lindale Hill adjacent to Batley Road should be removed from the plan In the information pack published by Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum they identify that the initial map used to consult with residents was based on the delivery area for Wrenthorpe Matters Newsletter. We have lived at Lindale Farm for 57 years and have never received a copy of this newsletter as we are in Kirkhamgate. The land above does not therefore meet the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum definition of what should be included. As we do not receive this newsletter, we received no notification of the proposals or copy maps. We note that there is a further misrepresentation included in the information published by Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum as they state. In so doing the members walking the boundary have also met with and discussed the land in the Green Belt area with the landowners to check their views and amend the boundary as necessary. No one from the Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum has made any contact with ourselves to check our views or give us the opportunity to request an amendment to the boundary prior to the publication for public consultation. Had they done so we would have requested the fields be removed as we are not part of Wrenthorpe. Had we not been	No	Whilst we are sympathetic to the aims of the forum, we are of the opinion that this area belongs to the village of Kirkhamgate and has done since 1805. We own the fields mentioned above and the deeds specify that they lay within the village of Kirkhamgate. We therefore request they are removed from the plan.	Comments noted. Further comments made under comment ID WNFA41 for this area (5 fields). The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum has tried to make contact with land owners, where possible, along the boundary of the proposed neighbourhood area boundary. However, the formal consultation is the opportunity to provide comments on the proposed neighbourhood area boundary for the council to consider. The decision on the applications to designate a neighbourhood forum and neighbourhood area will be made at Planning and Highways Committee, as set out in the council's constitution. The 6 week consultation has been promoted via numerous routes to reach interested parties. The details of the consultation activities as set out in the Statement of Consultation report. The fields in question form a boundary with Batley Road and are designated Green Belt, the boundary includes Local Wildlife Site (LWS 57) leading up to Lindale Lane, including Lindale Hill Quarry and Wildlife Habitat Network, along the western boundary. The boundary follows physical features as set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPF). The proposed neighbourhood area boundary would be an additional boundary, it would not alter existing settlement boundaries and would be for neighbourhood planning purposes only. No change recommended to proposed boundary in this location, altering the boundary in this location to exclude the 5 fields would not use the road feature and would use lesser defined boundary features.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
					contacted by another resident of Kirkhamgate who raised the same concerns, we would never have been aware of the proposals.			
Cllr Nic Stansby	WNFA65	As a resident of Wrenthorpe, I fully support the creation of a neighbourhood forum and think that the work carried out by the team of volunteers, is amazing, we'll done everyone.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mrs Susan Carthew	WNFA66	I think it's a positive way forward and gives Wrenthorpe more say on any future proposals, for a long time it had needed a boundary, it is non-political and created by local people. I fully support the Wrenthorpe Forum, it is long overdue for us to have a boundary line proposal, and there has been plenty of publication of the said proposal. It will be nice at last have a boundary of Wrenthorpe which has been debated over the years, also to protect and approve any future building applications in the village. It is non-political, organised by a knowledgeable group of local people, who are willing to put all their time and energy into creating this and fighting for Wrenthorpe's corner on any future proposals for this area.	Yes	No		Yes		Comment noted, in support.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Spawforths Ltd representing Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd	WNFA69	<p>See attached letter for full representation submitted, seeking minor amendments to the constitution and highlighting key requirements of neighbourhood planning, aligning with NPPF and the Council's Local Plan.</p> <p>Comments made / recommendations to amend Forum's constitution to provide greater clarity on the operation and membership of the Forum ensuring that the Forum's operation is consistent with national policy and guidance and the conditions set out in Section 61 F (5) and (7) of the Town and Country Planning Act.</p> <p>Summary of the points raised in the attached letter: Ensure the wider community is fully informed. Engages with wider range of stakeholders, including developers.</p> <p>Potential for associate membership for those who live and work outside the area. Clarity on 'conflict of interest', set out principles regarding declaration of interest</p> <p>Membership of working groups open to non-members of the Forum?</p> <p>Establish clearer process for the preparation of and agreement of the terms of reference for working groups by the committee. Request to be notified of further developments and consultations, and would like to participate positively in the Neighbourhood Plans' preparation.</p>	Not Specified	Not Specified		Not Specified		<p>Comments noted. Interested parties will be informed of future formal consultation stages in the preparation of a Wrenthorpe draft neighbourhood plan.</p> <p>To meet the conditions of section 61(F) (5 to 9) of the 1990 (as amended) Town and County Planning Act, the proposed Wrenthorpe forum is required to have its membership open to individuals who live and work in the neighbourhood area concerned and elected members whose are falls within the neighbourhood area concerned.</p> <p>The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum's constitution sets out how it will operate, being non-political in its work and requires any personal interest to be declared. It indicates they will work in partnership with other groups in the community and sets out how working groups will be established depending on the task being covered, which in turn will relate to what the draft neighbourhood plan may cover.</p> <p>Consultation, in going forward, will be wider, where required to capture interested parties. Paragraph 5.9 of the forum's constitution sets out that a record of supporters will be maintained and any updates shared with them. They will also be welcome to join any social media groups to be kept up to date.</p> <p>No changes recommended to the forum's constitution.</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mr Adrian Braithwaite	WNFA71	<p>The proposed boundary changes are totally unnecessary. Firstly, the consultation process was only made known to the residents of Wrenthorpe, with the three subsequent meetings held there. The signs notifying residents of the proposals were only erected in Kirkhamgate very recently and after the aforementioned meetings. Wrenthorpe neighbourhood Forum state that they wish to protect against future developments but one must question the success in preventing considerable residential developments in the existing Wrenthorpe area. Outwood Academy playing fields were lost to a massive development, Agricultural and Green Belt land on Lyndale Lane was lost to further residential development as well as developments at the junction of Wrenthorpe Lane /Batley Road. There are several other developments in addition to those already mentioned. The Government backed Unitary Development Plan and Local Plan already set out the development issues for the next two decades and whilst I agree that the residents and Neighbourhood Forum in Wrenthorpe should be permitted to comment on proposals in that area, likewise, the residents and Village Association should also be permitted to do the same in Kirkhamgate. In the 1960's the South side of Wrenthorpe lane was a part</p>	No	No		Not Specified		<p>The Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary proposed would be an additional boundary for neighbourhood planning purposes and would not alter existing settlement boundaries for Kirkhamgate and Wrenthorpe, as defined in the adopted Core Strategy.</p> <p>The designation of a neighbourhood forum and neighbourhood area would not prevent any interested party from making their own comments on planning applications or in relation to the emerging new local plan (Wakefield District Local Plan 2036) and formal public consultations.</p> <p>In the current adopted local plan the housing allocations for Wrenthorpe area include: HS16 - Land Off Batley Road, Wakefield HS17 - Land Off Wrenthorpe Lane, Wakefield HS18 - Land Off Lindale Lane And Wrenthorpe Lane, Wrenthorpe Which are either complete or under construction.</p> <p>The consultation on the Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum and area applications were publicised in the local press and the proposed forum during the consultation placed posters, promoting the consultation within and around the neighbourhood area boundary.</p> <p>A Statement for the designation of the Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area has been submitted with the neighbourhood area application, settings out what criteria was applied in establishing the proposed boundary and why it is considered appropriate. This was available to view during the consultation period and is available on the council's website.</p> <p>The boundary should be appropriate for neighbourhood planning purposes. No amendments to the neighbourhood area boundary have been suggested in the comments received. No changes are recommended.</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
		<p>of Kirkhamgate but was re-allocated to Wrenthorpe, when will Wrenthorpe stop trying to take over the entire area? They proposals 'cherry pick' selective sites but discard areas that they do not wish to be associated with. It is interesting to note that the proposed changes relate to land adjoining the aforementioned developments in Wrenthorpe at Lyndale Lane and Batley Road. The proposed boundary changes will not in any way benefit the area as a whole and Kirkhamgate in particular and I believe that they should be dismissed.</p>						
Mr Ian Carthew	WNFA72		Not Specified	Not Specified	<p>I think the boundary proposals for Wrenthorpe are about right and a good idea. Consultations have taken place in the village and all households and organisations have been invited to attend meetings to discuss the issues. Some people may not be happy with the proposals but that is why it has been left open for discussion. Every house in Wrenthorpe has been contacted at least once for their opinions.</p>	Not Specified		Comment noted, in support.

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Mrs Jane Timms	WNFA73	The work done by various groups in Wrenthorpe i.e. The Forum makes residents feel they have a voice and that our concerns could be channelled positively. More importantly I consider it is a positive step to encourage residents to take ownership and responsibility.	Yes	Not Specified		Yes		Comment noted, in support.
Mr Adam Argent	WNFA74	There has been no consultation with local residents. This proposed area borders my property in Alverthorpe & our property sits within Alverthorpe not Wrenthorpe. The potential area of development takes in a conservation area & an area of green belt. This Neighbourhood Forum should not have power to make decisions without the consultation of all residents that border & live within the proposed boundaries.	No	Yes	The area proposed goes far beyond the boundary of Wrenthorpe. It incorporates areas of green belt, park space & nature reserve; all which should be protected & should not ever be considered for development. Alverthorpe & Wrenthorpe Meadows is a vital public area which provides green space & much needed natural breathing space for local residents. The boundary should incorporate Wrenthorpe, not areas of Kirkhamgate & Alverthorpe.	No		Comments noted. The proposed neighbourhood area would be an additional boundary for neighbourhood planning purposes and would not alter existing settlement boundaries. The proposed neighbourhood forum would be the qualifying body to prepare a draft neighbourhood plan if designated. It is acknowledged by the council and the proposed forum that in moving forward consultation should be broadened, to include properties on the boundary of the neighbourhood area, where relevant. The designated Protected Area of Open Land (OL 1 – Wrenthorpe Valley, Wakefield) has been included in the proposed neighbourhood area boundary to continue with its protection and for consistency with the current adopted local plan and designated Green Belt located between Kirkhamgate and the Sub Regional City of Wakefield. No recommended change to the proposed boundary in this location.
Mrs Claire Argent	WNFA75	I do not feel that this consultation has been carried out correctly. The boundary drawn goes much wider than Wrenthorpe yet only Wrenthorpe residents have been consulted. I live in Alverthorpe and my property is adjacent to the proposed boundary yet I have only just heard about this as the consultation is due to close.	No	Yes	The boundary is much larger than it needs to be and incorporates part of Alverthorpe and Kirkhamgate. It also includes green belt land and nature reserves. The boundary should be drawn around Wrenthorpe itself.	No	Further to the comments above, see attached comments also received on 31/07/19.	Comment noted. The 6 week consultation period closed on the 31 July 2019, full details of consultation are set out in the Statement of Consultation report. The consultation activities were wider than those required by the Planning Regulations. Wrenthorpe is part of the defined Sub Regional Settlement of Wakefield in the adopted Core Strategy (2009). Guidance for defining a neighbourhood boundary is set out in National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) available online to view. Boundaries can cross wards and local planning administrative boundaries, if

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
								<p>appropriate and can include Green Belt and other designations.</p> <p>The requirement is for an appropriate boundary to be established for neighbourhood planning purposes. Consultation, in going forward, will be widened further to capture interested parties, where possible, adjoining the proposed neighbourhood area boundary, where relevant.</p> <p>No recommended change to the proposed boundary in this location.</p>
Mr David Pontin	WNFA77	I support the formation of the Wrenthorpe Forum in principle to deal with matters affecting Wrenthorpe. It is unfortunate that the area of consultation for the proposal appears to have only included Wrenthorpe and not the surrounding communities that are affected by it and hence many residents have only just, or still not, heard about it	No	Yes	The boundary currently proposed as it affects the village of Kirkhamgate is not appropriate. Yes, the boundary should be changed to exclude areas currently within the old boundary of Kirkhamgate, including Lindale Hill. I understand that Lindale Hill was left to the residents of Kirkhamgate under the Enclosure Act 1793/1805. I have lived in Kirkhamgate since 1965 and in that time there have been many social events on the hill organised by the village, from Easter Egg Rolling to erection of one of a chain of beacons to celebrate the Queen's Jubilee. Whilst I appreciate that the proposed Neighbourhood Area may not preclude such use, there is a strong feeling that the hill is a part of our village.	No	It should be amended as above, then it may be suitable subject to representations from adjacent areas.	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary would be an additional boundary for neighbourhood planning purposes and would not alter existing settlement boundaries as defined in the adopted Core Strategy. The boundary as proposed includes a corridor of designated Green Belt, located between Wrenthorpe (sub regional city) and Kirkhamgate, including Lindale Hill and the designated Local Wildlife Site (LWS 57), the boundary does not extend up to the village of Kirkhamgate.</p> <p>The historical importance of Lindale Hill is noted, the proposed neighbourhood area boundary does not seek to alter this. Guidance set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) in establishing an appropriate boundary has been followed in establishing a boundary, including physical features on the ground, which form natural boundaries etc. The boundary is also aligned with existing designations in the current adopted local plan, along sections of the proposed neighbourhood area boundary</p> <p>No recommended change to the boundary in this location.</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
<p><u>54 letters of signed objections received from:</u> Helen Broadbent, Alex Tebraze, Helen Le Page, Daniel Taylor, David Dennison, Mrs J Dickinson, Mr J Dickinson, Mark Lambourn, Rachel Lambourn, Lesley Gilfoyle, Linda Coy, Mr and Mrs Colin and Yanina Ratcliffe, Caroline Lee, Stephen Cowan, Rebecca McAndrew, Stuart McAndrew, Richard Kershaw, Neil Timmins, Clive Tannant, G Waterton, Phil Grundy, Valerie Grundy, Tony Feather, Caroline Feather, Elizabeth Fawcett, Andy Fawcett, Helen Campbell, Vincent Campbell, Wendy Tannant, Ann Casson, Diane Dennison, Barbara Fellows, Andrew Fellows, Felicity Cox, Frank Finnerty, Angela</p>	<p>WNFA78, WNFA79, WNFA80, WNFA81, WNFA82, WNFA83, WNFA84, WNFA85, WNFA86, WNFA87, WNFA88, WNFA89, WNFA89, WNFA90, WNFA91, WNFA92, WNFA93, WNFA94, WNFA95, WNFA96, WNFA97, WNFA98, WNFA99, WNFA100, WNFA101, WNFA102, WNFA103, WNFA104, WNFA105, WNFA106, WNFA107, WNFA108, WNFA109, WNFA110, WNFA111, WNFA112, WNFA113, WNFA114, WNFA115, WNFA116, WNFA117, WNFA118, WNFA119, WNFA120, WNFA121, WNFA122, WNFA123, WNFA124, WNFA125, WNFA126,</p>	<p>No comments received on the proposed Forum.</p>	No	Yes	<p>The boundary should be changed because it is disproportionate as being Wrenthorpe's 'neighbourhood', not justified and residents/land owners who live adjacent to it have not been engaged with or given the opportunity to participate in its definition.</p> <p>The south-eastern part of the boundary is some considerable distance (approximately 500 metres/half a kilometre) from any property in the urban area of Wrenthorpe.</p> <p>Part of the land where the flood defence works are is in Ward 17, Wakefield North and the Ward Councillors have not been consulted or involved in defining the boundary.</p> <p>The residents of Silcoates Park, in particular, have not been consulted nor any attempt has been made to engage with residents whose properties abut or are adjacent the proposed boundary.</p> <p>Public Right of Way footpaths 11, 9 and 39 show a clear divide between the parishes of Stanley and Wakefield with the footpath up to Fox Lane and wooded area south of Silcoates school rounding off the Plan area. Using these footpaths as the boundary and only including land within the Stanley parish (in which Wrenthorpe lies) would provide a more logical, proportionate and appropriate boundary compared to that currently proposed.</p>	No	<p>Collins dictionary defines a neighbourhood as "the immediate environment, surroundings and vicinity". The fields adjacent the south-eastern boundary are the neighbourhoods of Silcoates Park, Peacock and Alverthorpe housing estates. And NOT the neighbourhood of the 'village' of Wrenthorpe, which is about half a kilometre away.</p> <p>No justification has been given as to why the Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe meadows are included in their entirety.</p> <p>Fields adjacent Silcoates Park and Peacock estates contain flood alleviation measures associated with Balne Beck. As a strategic site, this land should not be included in the Plan area.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood area boundary includes the Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe Meadows, which is a Protected Area of Open Land in the adopted Local Plan (reference OL 1 - Wrenthorpe Valley, Wakefield) and three areas of land from Ward 17. A section of the designation OL 1 has not been included in the proposed neighbourhood area boundary where it relates to St Paul's church / graveyard, this is due to the cultural association with Alverthorpe, and this is within Ward 21. Areas of the meadows outside Ward 21 boundary within Ward 17 have been included, as the proposed forum did not wish to exclude small pockets of the open land / meadows area, in this location.</p> <p>Neighbourhood areas can cross wards and local authority administrative boundaries if appropriate for planning reasons. The proposed boundary would not impact on the existing flood alleviation works within the Wrenthorpe and Alverthorpe meadows.</p> <p>The use of Public Right of Way's in this location would not form an appropriate boundary, cutting through the Protected Area of Open Land (OL 1) designation in this location.</p> <p>The consultation has been carried out in line with the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations (General) (2012, as amended), it sets out that the local planning authority must publicise the area application, how to make representations and the date representations must be received by, on the council's website and in such other manner as they consider is likely to bring the area application to the attention of people who live, work or carry on business in the area to which the application relates.</p> <p>The full consultation details are set out in Statement of Consultation Report. Briefly, the Council's website was used, public notices in the local press, the council's Where I live North West Facebook page was used, printed copies were made available at the Council offices and at Wrenthorpe Village Hall. The proposed forum were provided with a poster that was displayed in</p>

Full Name	Comment ID	Neighbourhood Forum Application - Please give any views below on the proposed Wrenthorpe Neighbourhood Forum	Do you think the boundary is appropriate ?	Do you think the boundary should be changed ?	If you think the boundary should be changed please say why	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area?	Do you think the area identified is suitable for designation as a neighbourhood area? - If you think the area identified is not suitable please say why	Council response / action
Wardle, Mr John Wardle, Elizabeth A Scanlon, James Lambourn, Ann Jesty, Graham Jesty, Margaret A Preston, William Mosley, Jacqueline Mosley, Brenda Robinson, Betty Fitton, Beverley Creed, Sam Creed, K Evans, Ann Kershaw, P Bridges, Asim Tebraze, Enid Waterton	WNFA127, WNFA128, WNFA129, WNFA130							<p>numerous locations in Wrenthorpe and along the boundary of the proposed neighbourhood area, during the consultation period. An email was sent to all local ward members at the start of the consultation period. Interested parties who are registered in the council's consultation database (Objective) were contacted by letter / email and also businesses who operate in the area.</p> <p>The proposed Wrenthorpe forum have attending community meetings, met with residents/land owners where available, or who have raised concerns during the consultation period and have promoted the consultation via open social media community Facebook pages, to reach as many interested parties as possible.</p> <p>It is acknowledged by the council and the proposed Wrenthorpe neighbourhood forum that residents adjoining the southern boundary, would like to be consulted. In going forward, the council and proposed forum will broaden the consultation activities to include interested parties, in this location, where possible, including Ward 17 members.</p>

Inadmissible comments: WNFA8, WNFA67, WNFA68, WNFA70 and WNFA76.